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#### Abstract

We initiate a triangle geometry in the projective metrical setting, based on the purely algebraic approach of universal geometry, and yielding in particular a new form of hyperbolic triangle geometry. There are three main strands: the Orthocenter, Incenter and Circumcenter hierarchies, with the last two dual. Formulas using ortholinear coordinates are a main objective. Prominent are five particular points, the $b, z, x, h$ and $s$ points, all lying on the Orthoaxis $A$. A rich kaleidoscopic aspect colours the subject.
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## 1 Introduction

Recently there has been a revival of interest in classical geometry and in particular the study of triangles ([6], [7], [9], [10], [12], [13], [14]). This paper introduces triangle geometry into the framework of Universal Hyperbolic Geometry (UHG) ([18], [19]) and beyond; in the context of a general metrical structure on the projective plane. The basic measurements of quadrance and spread replace the usual notions of distance and angle, and these depend on a general bilinear form. Hyperbolic geometry provides the motivation and is used for the illustrations. The approach is purely algebraic and works over any field not of characteristic two; the reader may easily keep the fundamental example of the rational number field foremost in mind. Ultimately this theory is a natural consequence of Rational Trigonometry ([15], [16], [17]).
Triangle geometry in this setting has features that resemble and also contrast with classical hyperbolic geometry, studied and described in [1], [2], [3], [4], [5], [11] and [21]. The Orthocenter hierarchy, involving Altitudes, Orthic triangles, the Orthic axis, the Double triangle, and the Orthoaxis, on which the important $s, h, x, b$ and $z$ points

## Univerzalna hiperbolička geometrija III:

Prvi koraci u projektivnoj geometriji trokuta

## SAŽETAK

Na temelju algebarskog pristupa univerzalne geometrije, uvodimo geometriju trokuta u projektivno-metrički okvir. To rezultira jednim novim oblikom hiperboličke geometrije trokuta. Tri su glavne okosnice: hijerarhije ortocentara, središta upisanih i središta opisanih kružnica, od kojih su posljednje dvije dualne. Primjena ortolinearnih koordinata u formulama ima bitnu ulogu. Istaknuto je pet posebnih točaka ( $b, z, x, h$ i $s$ ) koje leže na ortogonalnoj osi A. Bogato, kaleidoskopsko gledište karakterizira obradu teme.

Ključne riječi: univerzalna hiperbolička geometrije, geometrija trokuta, projektivna geometrija, bilinearna forma, ortolinearne koordinate, središte upisane kružnice, središte opisane kružnice, ortogonalna os
are to be found, is primary. The Incenter and Circumcenter hierarchies are precisely dual, and their existences depend on number theoretic conditions, unlike the usual Euclidean situation. The former contains the Incenters, Bilines (analogs of vertex or angle bisectors), Bipoints, Apollonius points, Centrian lines, Sight lines, Contact points, Gergonne points and Nagel points etc. The latter contains Circumlines, Midpoints, Midlines (analogs of perpendicular bisectors), Medians, Centroids, Sound points, Tangent lines, Jay lines and Wren lines etc. Duality pervades the subject; interchanging points and lines, sides and vertices, and quadrance and spread.
This paper is largely self-contained; we start with a general introduction to universal metrical projective geometry. When we study a triangle $\overline{a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}}$, it will prove convenient to use a linear transformation to change coordinates, so that we may assume that $a_{1}=[1: 0: 0], a_{2}=[0: 1: 0]$ and $a_{3}=[0: 0: 1]$, with the orthocenter represented by $h=[1: 1: 1]$. With these ortholinear coordinates the bilinear form is given by a pair of inverse symmetric projective matrices:
$\mathbf{B}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}a & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & b & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & c\end{array}\right], \quad \mathbf{A}=\mathbf{B}^{-1}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}1-b c & c-1 & b-1 \\ c-1 & 1-a c & a-1 \\ b-1 & a-1 & 1-a b\end{array}\right]$

This shifts projective triangle geometry from the study of a general triangle under a particular bilinear form to the study of a particular triangle under a general bilinear form, giving a simpler and more general theory.

Formulas will be our main aims; most of these depend on the three parameters $a, b, c$ occurring in (1), and hopefully will provide a solid platform for further investigations. They also suggest a possible alternative to trilinear coordinates in affine/Euclidean triangle geometry. This paper introduces a rich theory which has many additional relationships and remarkable aspects which will be further studied in the coming years.

### 1.1 Projective linear algebra and Universal geometry

In this section we introduce the main objects: (projective) points and lines, via projective linear algebra. This is linear algebra with vectors and matrices defined only up to nonzero scalar multiples. We write the usual vectors and matrices with round brackets, while projective vectors and projective matrices, in square brackets, are by definition unchanged if we multiply all coordinates simultaneously by a non-zero number. So while $\vec{v} \equiv(3,1,2) \equiv\left(\begin{array}{lll}3 & 1 & 2\end{array}\right)$ represents a usual row vector (or $1 \times 3$ matrix), the corresponding projective row vector is $a=\left[\begin{array}{lll}3 & 1 & 2\end{array}\right]$. By definition $a$ is also equal to $\left[\begin{array}{lll}-3 & -1 & -2\end{array}\right]$ or to $\left[\begin{array}{lll}6 & 2 & 4\end{array}\right]$.
We will generally use bold labels to represent projective matrices: while
$A=\left(\begin{array}{lll}2 & 1 & 4 \\ 0 & 3 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1\end{array}\right) \quad$ and $\quad B=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}3 & -1 & -11 \\ 0 & 2 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 6\end{array}\right)$
denote ordinary matrices, the corresponding projective matrices are
$\mathbf{A}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}2 & 1 & 4 \\ 0 & 3 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{llc}6 & 3 & 12 \\ 0 & 9 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 3\end{array}\right]$,
$\mathbf{B}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}3 & -1 & -11 \\ 0 & 2 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 6\end{array}\right]=\frac{1}{6}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}3 & -1 & -11 \\ 0 & 2 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 6\end{array}\right]$.
Inverses are easier to compute in the projective setting, since determinants in the denominator can be dispensed with: for example $\mathbf{A}^{-1}=\mathbf{B}$, so that integer arithmetic only is required. While in general projective matrices cannot be added, they can be multiplied!
We now introduce additional notation and terminology that allows us to work consistently with both row and column vectors horizontally. A non-zero projective row vector $a$ will be written in either of the following forms:
$a \equiv\left[\begin{array}{lll}x & y & z\end{array}\right] \equiv[x: y: z]$
and will be called a (projective) point. A non-zero projective column vector $L$ will be written as
$L \equiv\left[\begin{array}{c}l \\ m \\ n\end{array}\right] \equiv\langle l: m: n\rangle$
and will be called a (projective) line. The point $a \equiv$ $[x: y: z]$ and the line $L \equiv\langle l: m: n\rangle$ are incident precisely when $l x+m y+n z=0$; equivalently $a$ lies on $L$, or $L$ passes through $a$. The corresponding matrix equation is
$a L \equiv\left[\begin{array}{lll}x & y & z\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}l \\ m \\ n\end{array}\right]=[x: y: z]\langle l: m: n\rangle=0$.
Three or more points are collinear precisely when they all lie on a line $L$, and three or more lines are concurrent precisely when they all pass through a point $a$.
The join $a_{1} a_{2}$ of distinct points $a_{1} \equiv\left[x_{1}: y_{1}: z_{1}\right]$ and $a_{2} \equiv$ $\left[x_{2}: y_{2}: z_{2}\right]$ is the line

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{1} a_{2} & \equiv\left[x_{1}: y_{1}: z_{1}\right] \times\left[x_{2}: y_{2}: z_{2}\right] \\
& \equiv\left\langle y_{1} z_{2}-y_{2} z_{1}: z_{1} x_{2}-z_{2} x_{1}: x_{1} y_{2}-x_{2} y_{1}\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

The meet $L_{1} L_{2}$ of distinct lines $L_{1} \equiv\left\langle l_{1}: m_{1}: n_{1}\right\rangle$ and $L_{2} \equiv\left\langle l_{2}: m_{2}: n_{2}\right\rangle$ is the point

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_{1} L_{2} & \equiv\left\langle l_{1}: m_{1}: n_{1}\right\rangle \times\left\langle l_{2}: m_{2}: n_{2}\right\rangle \\
& \equiv\left[m_{1} n_{2}-m_{2} n_{1}: n_{1} l_{2}-n_{2} l_{1}: l_{1} m_{2}-l_{2} m_{1}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

These operations, using the usual Euclidean cross product, are well-defined, and will be used repeatedly in this paper. The symbol $\times$ in the linear algebra context avoids confusion with matrix multiplication.
Then $a_{1} a_{2}$ is the unique line incident with both $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$, and $L_{1} L_{2}$ is the unique point incident with both $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$. A complete symmetry or duality between points and lines is a key feature of this subject.
We also recall a few more definitions from [18] and [19]. A side $\overline{a_{1} a_{2}} \equiv\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}\right\}$ is a set of two points. A vertex $\overline{L_{1} L_{2}} \equiv\left\{L_{1}, L_{2}\right\}$ is a set of two lines. A triangle $\overline{a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}} \equiv\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}\right\}$ is a set of three non-collinear points, and a trilateral $\overline{L_{1} L_{2} L_{3}} \equiv\left\{L_{1}, L_{2}, L_{3}\right\}$ is a set of three nonconcurrent lines.

A triangle $\overline{a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}}$ determines an associated trilateral $\overline{L_{1} L_{2} L_{3}}$, where $L_{1} \equiv a_{2} a_{3}, L_{2} \equiv a_{1} a_{3}$ and $L_{3} \equiv a_{1} a_{2}$. Symmetrically a trilateral $\overline{L_{1} L_{2} L_{3}}$ determines an associated triangle $\overline{a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}}$, where $a_{1} \equiv L_{2} L_{3}, a_{2} \equiv L_{1} L_{3}$ and $a_{3} \equiv L_{1} L_{2}$. The triangle $\overline{a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}}$ has three sides, namely $\overline{a_{1} a_{2}}, \overline{a_{2} a_{3}}$ and $\overline{a_{1} a_{3}}$, as well as three vertices, namely $\overline{L_{1} L_{2}}, \overline{L_{2} L_{3}}$ and $\overline{L_{1} L_{3}}$. In this paper we concentrate on triangles.

### 1.2 Projective bilinear forms

We now introduce a metrical structure on our threedimensional vector space; this will be done via a symmetric bilinear form $\vec{v}_{1} \cdot \vec{v}_{2} \equiv \vec{v}_{1} A \vec{v}_{2}^{T}$ given by an invertible symmetric $3 \times 3$ matrix $A$, where $\vec{v}_{1}$ and $\vec{v}_{2}$ are ordinary row vectors, and $T$ denotes transpose. We wish to transfer this bilinear form to projective points and lines: let's start with perpendicularity. Recall that vectors $\vec{v}_{1}, \vec{v}_{2}$ are perpendicular precisely when $\vec{v}_{1} \cdot \vec{v}_{2}=0$.
Denote by A and B the projective matrices associated to $A$ and its inverse matrix $B$ respectively. Points $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$ are perpendicular precisely when $a_{1} \mathbf{A} a_{2}^{T}=0$, and in this case we write $a_{1} \perp a_{2}$. This is a symmetric relation. Dually, lines $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ are perpendicular precisely when $L_{1}^{T} \mathbf{B} L_{2}=0$; we write $L_{1} \perp L_{2}$. It is useful to restate these relations by introducing a formal notion of duality: the projective point $a$ and the projective line $L$ are dual precisely when
$L=a^{\perp} \equiv \mathbf{A} a^{T} \quad$ or equivalently $\quad a=L^{\perp} \equiv L^{T} \mathbf{B}$.

So two points, or two lines, are perpendicular precisely when one is incident with the dual of the other. It now follows that $a_{1} \perp a_{2}$ precisely when $a_{1}^{\perp} \perp a_{2}^{\perp}$, since the latter condition is

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & =\left(\mathbf{A} a_{1}^{T}\right)^{T} \mathbf{B}\left(\mathbf{A} a_{2}^{T}\right)=\left(a_{1} \mathbf{A}^{T}\right) \mathbf{B}\left(\mathbf{A} a_{2}^{T}\right) \\
& =a_{1}(\mathbf{A B})\left(\mathbf{A} a_{2}^{T}\right)=a_{1} \mathbf{A} a_{2}^{T} . \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

A point $a$ is null precisely when it is perpendicular to itself, that is, when $a \mathbf{A} a^{T}=0$. Dually a line $L$ is null precisely when it is perpendicular to itself, that is, when $L^{T} \mathbf{B} L=0$.
Our main interests are hyperbolic and elliptic geometries, which arise respectively from the special cases
$\mathbf{A}=\mathbf{J} \equiv\left[\begin{array}{ccc}1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1\end{array}\right]=\mathbf{B}, \quad \mathbf{A}=\mathbf{I} \equiv\left[\begin{array}{lll}1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1\end{array}\right]=\mathbf{B}$.

But other possibilities are also of interest, and for triangle geometry also important, as we shall soon see.

### 1.3 Visualization

The Figures in this paper all come from hyperbolic geometry: we represent the point $a \equiv[x: y: z]$ by the affine point $[X, Y] \equiv[x / z, y / z]$, and the line $L \equiv\langle l: m: n\rangle$ by the linear equation $l X+m Y+n=0$, which would be the hyperbolic line $(l: m:-n)$ in [18]. Null points are those $a$ for which $x^{2}+y^{2}-z^{2}=0$; the corresponding affine points lie on the null circle $X^{2}+Y^{2}=1$, always in blue. Null lines are
tangent to this null circle. The duality becomes exactly the projective polarity between points and lines associated with the null circle.


Figure 1: A Triangle $\overline{a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}}$ and its Dual triangle $\overline{l_{1} l_{2} l_{3}}$
We will adopt the general convention that triangle geometry constructs associated to a particular triangle are Capitalized (a familiar idea for German readers). So Figure 1 shows a Triangle $\overline{a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}}$, in yellow, with the notation we will consistently use: the Points of the triangle are $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}$, the Lines are $L_{1} \equiv a_{2} a_{3}, L_{2} \equiv a_{1} a_{3}, L_{3} \equiv a_{1} a_{2}$, the Dual points are $l_{1} \equiv L_{1}^{\perp}, l_{2} \equiv L_{2}^{\perp}, l_{3} \equiv L_{3}^{\perp}$, the Dual lines are $A_{1} \equiv a_{1}^{\perp}, A_{2} \equiv a_{2}^{\perp}, A_{3} \equiv a_{3}^{\perp}$, and the Dual triangle is $\overline{l_{1} l_{2} l_{3}}$, in light blue. Points and their dual lines are generally pictured with the same colour.

### 1.4 Quadrance and spread

An inverse pair of symmetric projective matrices $\mathbf{A}$ and B give us more than perpendicularity: they allow the introduction of metrical quantities into algebraic geometry. This has been a blind spot in the history of the subject!
The quadrance $q\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right)$ between points $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$, and the spread $S\left(L_{1}, L_{2}\right)$ between lines $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$, are the respective numbers
$q\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right) \equiv 1-\frac{\left(a_{1} \mathbf{A} a_{2}^{T}\right)^{2}}{\left(a_{1} \mathbf{A} a_{1}^{T}\right)\left(a_{2} \mathbf{A} a_{2}^{T}\right)} \quad$ and
$S\left(L_{1}, L_{2}\right) \equiv 1-\frac{\left(L_{1}^{T} \mathbf{B} L_{2}\right)^{2}}{\left(L_{1}^{T} \mathbf{B} L_{1}\right)\left(L_{2}^{T} \mathbf{B} L_{2}\right)}$.
While the numerators and denominators of these expressions depend on choices of representative vectors and matrices for $a_{1}, a_{2}, \mathbf{A}, L_{1}, L_{2}$ and $\mathbf{B}$, the quotients are independent of scaling, so the overall expressions are indeed welldefined projectively.
Clearly $q(a, a)=0$ and $S(L, L)=0$, while $q\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right)=1$ precisely when $a_{1} \perp a_{2}$, and dually $S\left(L_{1}, L_{2}\right)=1$ precisely when $L_{1} \perp L_{2}$. An argument similar to (3) shows that for points $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$,
$S\left(a_{1}^{\perp}, a_{2}^{\perp}\right)=q\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right)$.

Quadrance and spread are undefined if one or both of the points or lines involved is null. We will adopt the zero denominator convention: statements involving a fraction with zero in the denominator are empty, and a variant: statements involving a proportion with all entries zero are empty.

Example 1 In the hyperbolic case, the quadrance between $a_{1} \equiv\left[x_{1}: y_{1}: z_{1}\right]$ and $a_{2} \equiv\left[x_{2}: y_{2}: z_{2}\right]$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
& q\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right) \equiv 1-\frac{\left(x_{1} x_{2}+y_{1} y_{2}-z_{1} z_{2}\right)^{2}}{\left(x_{1}^{2}+y_{1}^{2}-z_{1}^{2}\right)\left(x_{2}^{2}+y_{2}^{2}-z_{2}^{2}\right)} \\
& =-\frac{\left(y_{1} z_{2}-y_{2} z_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(z_{1} x_{2}-z_{2} x_{1}\right)^{2}-\left(x_{1} y_{2}-y_{1} x_{2}\right)^{2}}{\left(x_{1}^{2}+y_{1}^{2}-z_{1}^{2}\right)\left(x_{2}^{2}+y_{2}^{2}-z_{2}^{2}\right)} \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

and the spread between $L_{1} \equiv\left\langle l_{1}: m_{1}: n_{1}\right\rangle$ and $L_{2} \equiv$ $\left\langle l_{2}: m_{2}: n_{2}\right\rangle$ is
$S\left(L_{1}, L_{2}\right) \equiv 1-\frac{\left(l_{1} l_{2}+m_{1} m_{2}-n_{1} n_{2}\right)^{2}}{\left(l_{1}^{2}+m_{1}^{2}-n_{1}^{2}\right)\left(l_{2}^{2}+m_{2}^{2}-n_{2}^{2}\right)}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=-\frac{\left(m_{1} n_{2}-m_{2} n_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(n_{1} l_{2}-n_{2} l_{1}\right)^{2}-\left(l_{1} m_{2}-l_{2} m_{1}\right)^{2}}{\left(l_{1}^{2}+m_{1}^{2}-n_{1}^{2}\right)\left(l_{2}^{2}+m_{2}^{2}-n_{2}^{2}\right)} . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Example 2 In the elliptic case, the quadrance between $a_{1} \equiv\left[x_{1}: y_{1}: z_{1}\right]$ and $a_{2} \equiv\left[x_{2}: y_{2}: z_{2}\right]$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
& q\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right) \equiv 1-\frac{\left(x_{1} x_{2}+y_{1} y_{2}+z_{1} z_{2}\right)^{2}}{\left(x_{1}^{2}+y_{1}^{2}+z_{1}^{2}\right)\left(x_{2}^{2}+y_{2}^{2}+z_{2}^{2}\right)} \\
& =\frac{\left(y_{1} z_{2}-y_{2} z_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(z_{1} x_{2}-z_{2} x_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(x_{1} y_{2}-y_{1} x_{2}\right)^{2}}{\left(x_{1}^{2}+y_{1}^{2}+z_{1}^{2}\right)\left(x_{2}^{2}+y_{2}^{2}+z_{2}^{2}\right)} \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

and the spread between $L_{1} \equiv\left\langle l_{1}: m_{1}: n_{1}\right\rangle$ and $L_{2} \equiv$ $\left\langle l_{2}: m_{2}: n_{2}\right\rangle$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
& S\left(L_{1}, L_{2}\right) \equiv 1-\frac{\left(l_{1} l_{2}+m_{1} m_{2}+n_{1} n_{2}\right)^{2}}{\left(l_{1}^{2}+m_{1}^{2}+n_{1}^{2}\right)\left(l_{2}^{2}+m_{2}^{2}+n_{2}^{2}\right)} \\
& =\frac{\left(m_{1} n_{2}-m_{2} n_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(n_{1} l_{2}-n_{2} l_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(l_{1} m_{2}-l_{2} m_{1}\right)^{2}}{\left(l_{1}^{2}+m_{1}^{2}+n_{1}^{2}\right)\left(l_{2}^{2}+m_{2}^{2}+n_{2}^{2}\right)} . \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

Theorem 1 (Null quadrance/spread) If $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$ are distinct points, then $q\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right)=0$ precisely when $a_{1} a_{2}$ is a null line. If $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ are distinct lines, then $S\left(L_{1}, L_{2}\right)=0$ precisely when $L_{1} L_{2}$ is a null point.

Proof. We prove the first statement, the second follows by duality. Suppose that $A$ is a $3 \times 3$ invertible symmetric matrix with $B$ the adjugate matrix (the inverse of $A$ up to a scalar), so we may write
$A \equiv\left(\begin{array}{lll}a & b & c \\ b & d & f \\ c & f & g\end{array}\right), \quad B \equiv\left(\begin{array}{lll}d g-f^{2} & c f-b g & b f-c d \\ c f-b g & a g-c^{2} & b c-a f \\ b f-c d & b c-a f & a d-b^{2}\end{array}\right)$.

Since $L \equiv a_{1} a_{2}$ is a null line precisely when $L^{T} B L=0$, the theorem is a consequence of the following remarkable identity in the various variables, involving only vectors and the usual linear algebra:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\left(x_{1}, y_{1}, z_{1}\right) A\left(x_{1}, y_{1}, z_{1}\right)^{T}\right)\left(\left(x_{2}, y_{2}, z_{2}\right) A\left(x_{2}, y_{2}, z_{2}\right)^{T}\right)- \\
-\left(\left(x_{1}, y_{1}, z_{1}\right) A\left(x_{2}, y_{2}, z_{2}\right)^{T}\right)^{2} \\
=\left(y_{1} z_{2}-y_{2} z_{1}, z_{1} x_{2}-z_{2} x_{1}, x_{1} y_{2}-x_{2} y_{1}\right) \cdot B \\
\cdot\left(y_{1} z_{2}-y_{2} z_{1}, z_{1} x_{2}-z_{2} x_{1}, x_{1} y_{2}-x_{2} y_{1}\right)^{T}
\end{gathered}
$$

In the paper [17] we show that this general projective metrical geometry obeys exactly the same main trigonometric laws as those of Universal Hyperbolic Geometry as set out in the paper [18], independent of the quadratic form. In particular the laws of trigonometry for hyperbolic and elliptic geometries, which are both projective theories, are exactly identical. This is indeed Universal Geometry.

### 1.5 Linear transformations and the Fundamental theorem of projective geometry

A bilinear form $\vec{v}_{1} \cdot \vec{v}_{2}=\vec{v}_{1} A \vec{v}_{2}^{T}$ is transformed when we change coordinates. Suppose we have an invertible linear transformation $T(\vec{v}) \equiv \vec{v} M=\vec{w}$ on threedimensional space, acting on row vectors via right multiplication by an invertible $3 \times 3$ matrix $M$, with inverse matrix $N$, so that $\vec{w} N=\vec{v}$. Define a new bilinear form $\odot$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\vec{w}_{1} \odot \vec{w}_{2} \equiv\left(\vec{w}_{1} N\right) \cdot\left(\vec{w}_{2} N\right) & =\left(\vec{w}_{1} N\right) A\left(\vec{w}_{2} N\right)^{T} \\
& =\vec{w}_{1}\left(N A N^{T}\right) \vec{w}_{2}^{T} .
\end{aligned}
$$

So the matrix $A$ for the original bilinear form $\cdot$ becomes the matrix $N A N^{T}$ for the new bilinear form $\odot$.

The linear transformation $T$ acting on row vectors induces a projective transformation $\mathbf{T}$ on one-dimensional subspaces, which are essentially (projective) points, as well as two-dimensional subspaces, which are essentially (projective) lines. Let $\mathbf{M}$ and $\mathbf{N}$ be the projective matrices associated to $M$ and $N$. On points, we define $\mathbf{T}(a)=a \mathbf{M}$. To see how $\mathbf{T}$ acts on lines, we use duality; the point $a$ is incident with the line $L$ precisely when $a L=0$, which is precisely when $(a \mathbf{M})(\mathbf{N} L)=0$, so we require that $\mathbf{T}(L) \equiv \mathbf{N} L$. In this way incidence is preserved when we apply a linear transformation to both points and lines.
The notion of perpendicularity is also modified: the points $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$ are $\odot$-perpendicular precisely when $a_{1} \mathbf{N}$ and $a_{2} \mathbf{N}$ are perpendicular, in other words precisely when
$a_{1}\left(\mathbf{N A N}^{T}\right) a_{2}^{T}=0$, while the lines $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ are $\odot$-perpendicular precisely when $L_{1}^{T}\left(\mathbf{M}^{T} \mathbf{B M}\right) L_{2}=0$. The inverse pair of symmetric projective matrices
$\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}=\mathbf{N} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{N}^{T} \quad$ and $\quad \widetilde{\mathbf{B}}=\mathbf{M}^{T} \mathbf{B} \mathbf{M}$
determine new notions of duality: $a^{\perp}=\widetilde{\mathbf{A}} a^{T}$ and $L^{\perp}=L^{T} \widetilde{\mathbf{B}}$ as well as new quadrances and spreads:
$\widetilde{q}\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right) \equiv 1-\frac{\left(a_{1} \widetilde{\mathbf{A}} a_{2}^{T}\right)^{2}}{\left(a_{1} \widetilde{\mathbf{A}} a_{1}^{T}\right)\left(a_{2} \widetilde{\mathbf{A}} a_{2}^{T}\right)} \quad$ and
$\widetilde{S}\left(L_{1}, L_{2}\right) \equiv 1-\frac{\left(L_{1}^{T} \widetilde{\mathbf{B}} L_{2}\right)^{2}}{\left(L_{1}^{T} \widetilde{\mathbf{B}} L_{1}\right)\left(L_{2}^{T} \widetilde{\mathbf{B}} L_{2}\right)}$.
Recall that the Fundamental theorem of projective geometry in this setting is really basic linear algebra: a general linear transformation of three-dimensional space maps any three linearly independent vectors $\vec{v}_{1}, \vec{v}_{2}, \vec{v}_{3}$ to any other three vectors. If in addition we are given a fourth vector $\vec{v}_{4}=\lambda_{1} \vec{v}_{1}+\lambda_{2} \vec{v}_{2}+\lambda_{3} \vec{v}_{3}$ with none of $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}$ zero, then we can send $\vec{v}_{1}, \vec{v}_{2}, \vec{v}_{3}$ respectively to $\left(1 / \lambda_{1}, 0,0\right),\left(0,1 / \lambda_{2}, 0\right),\left(0,0,1 / \lambda_{3}\right)$, so that $\vec{v}_{4}$ is sent to $(1,1,1)$. When we view this projectively, we have essentially a proof of the Fundamental theorem: we can construct a projective linear transformation that sends four generic projective points $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}$ and $a_{4}$ (no three collinear) respectively to $[1: 0: 0],[0: 1: 0],[0: 0: 1]$ and $[1: 1: 1]$.

### 1.6 An example with the basic Triangle

We illustrate these abstractions in a concrete example. Our basic Triangle shown in Figure 2 comes from the hyperbolic plane where the points originally have the approximate values:
$a_{1} \approx[-0.4: 0.4: 1], a_{2} \approx[-0.7:-0.4: 1], a_{3} \approx[0.1: 0.1: 1]$
corresponding to the affine points $A_{1} \approx[-0.4,0.4], A_{2} \approx$ $[-0.7,-0.4], A_{3} \approx[0.1,0.1]$. The following calculations are subject to round-off and approximation.

The Orthocenter, using formulas for hyperbolic geometry altitudes, is $h \approx[-0.286886: 0.217349: 1]$. Now
$(x, y, z)\left(\begin{array}{ccc}-0.4 & 0.4 & 1 \\ -0.7 & -0.4 & 1 \\ 0.1 & 0.1 & 1\end{array}\right)=(-0.2869,0.2173,1)$
has the solution $(x, y, z) \approx(0.586371,0.117125,0.296503)$. We conclude that the transformation $T(v)=v N$ where

$$
\begin{aligned}
N & \equiv\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0.586371 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0.117125 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0.296503
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-0.4 & 0.4 & 1 \\
-0.7 & -0.4 & 1 \\
0.1 & 0.1 & 1
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-0.2345484 & 0.2345484 & 0.586371 \\
-0.0819875 & -0.04685 & 0.117125 \\
0.0296503 & 0.0296503 & 0.296503
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

sends $(1,0,0),(0,1,0),(0,0,1)$ to multiples of $(-0.4,0.4,1),(-0.7,-0.4,1),(0.1,0.1,1)$ respectively, and also $(1,1,1)$ to $(-0.2869,0.2173,1)$.


Figure 2: Basic triangle $\overline{a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}}$ with Orthocenter $h$ Orthostar s, and Orthoaxis A
The inverse projective matrix $\mathbf{N}^{-1}=\mathbf{M}$ projectively sends the points $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}$ to $[1: 0: 0],[0: 1: 0],[0: 0: 1]$ and $h$ to $[1: 1: 1]$. Recalling the definition of $\mathbf{J}$ in (4), the bilinear form in the new standard coordinates is given (approximately) by the pair of projective inverse matrices $\mathbf{A}=\mathbf{N J} \mathbf{N}^{T}$ and $\mathbf{B}=\mathbf{M}^{T} \mathbf{J M}$, which are
$\mathbf{A} \approx\left[\begin{array}{ccc}-0.2338 & -0.0604 & -0.1739 \\ -0.0604 & -0.00480 & -0.0385 \\ -0.1739 & -0.0385 & -0.0862\end{array}\right]$ and
$\mathbf{B} \approx\left[\begin{array}{ccc}-0.7173 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -6.745 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1.692\end{array}\right]$
so that
$a \approx-0.7173, \quad b \approx-6.745, \quad c \approx-1.692$.
As an application, let's look at an important point associated to the Triangle $\overline{a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}}$ called the Orthostar $s=$ $[a+2: b+2: c+2]$. In our example this would be the point [1.2827:-4.745:0.308], and to convert that back into the original projective or hyperbolic coordinates, we would multiply by $\mathbf{N}$ to get

$$
\begin{aligned}
{[1.2827:-4.745: 0.308] \mathbf{N} } & \approx[0.0973: 0.5322: 0.2877] \approx \\
& \approx[0.34: 1.85: 1]
\end{aligned}
$$

which agrees approximately with the affine value for $s$ of [ $0.34,1.85]$ in Figure 2. In the same spirit, the Orthoaxis $A \equiv h s$ would have standard coordinates

$$
\begin{aligned}
{[1: 1: 1] \times[a+2: b+2: c+2]=\langle c-b: a-c: b-a\rangle } & \approx \\
& \approx\langle 5.053: 0.9747:-6.0277\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since this is a line, to convert back to the original coordinates we would multiply by $M$ on the left:
$M\left[\begin{array}{c}5.053 \\ 0.9747 \\ -6.0277\end{array}\right] \approx\left[\begin{array}{c}-47.08 \\ 18.02 \\ -17.42\end{array}\right] \approx\left[\begin{array}{c}2.702 \\ -1.03 \\ 1.0\end{array}\right]$
giving the line $2.702 X-1.03 Y+1=0$ with projective coordinates $\langle 2.702:-1.03: 1\rangle$ or hyperbolic coordinates ( $-2.702: 1.03: 1$ ). The Orthoaxis $A$ appears in Figure 2 as the orange line.

### 1.7 Midpoints, midlines, bilines and bipoints

There are four more important metrical concepts that play a big role in projective triangle geometry. A side $\overline{a b}$ has a midpoint $m$ precisely when $m$ is a point lying on $a b$ which satisfies $q(a, m)=q(m, b)$, and it has a midline $M$ precisely when $M$ is a line passing through a midpoint, perpendicular to the corresponding line $a b$ of the side. Midlines are called perpendicular bisectors in Euclidean geometry; we prefer the more compact terminology, which emphasizes the duality between midpoints and midlines. Figure 3 shows our standard Triangle $\overline{a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}}$ that we will be using throughout this paper, together with its six Midpoints $m$ and six Midlines $M$.


Figure 3: Midpoints $m$ and Midlines $M$ of the Triangle $\overline{a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}}$

Dually a vertex $\overline{K L}$ has a biline $B$ precisely when $B$ is a line passing through $K L$ which satisfies $S(K, B)=S(B, L)$, and it has a bipoint $b$ precisely when $b$ is a point lying on
a biline, perpendicular to the corresponding point $K L$ of the vertex. Bilines are called angle or vertex bisectors in Euclidean geometry. Bipoints have no Euclidean analogs.
Figure 4 shows the six Bilines $B$ and four of the six Bipoints $b$ of our standard Triangle. Both Figures 3 and 4 have interesting collinearities and concurrences that the reader might like to observe; we will explore these later.


Figure 4: Bilines $B$ and Bipoints $b$
We will see that the existence of midpoints and bilines depends on certain quadratic equations having solutions, with the consequence that sides and vertices generally have zero or two midpoints, or bilines. In a general triangle there are then several possibilities about which sides and vertices have midpoints or bilines. In future work we will explore interesting variants to these concepts which partially replace them when they do not exist.

## 2 Ortholinear coordinates

### 2.1 The Orthocenter theorem

Here is a main theorem which will be pivotal in our approach to triangle geometry in this general projective setting. There has recently been renewed interest in the Orthocenter in hyperbolic geometry ([8]); deservedly so.

Theorem 2 (Orthocenter theorem) Suppose that $\overline{a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}}$ is a triangle which is not a right triangle, so that no two of the three lines $L_{1} \equiv a_{2} a_{3}, L_{2} \equiv a_{1} a_{3}$ and $L_{3} \equiv a_{1} a_{2}$ are perpendicular. Then the altitude lines (or just altitudes) $N_{1} \equiv a_{1} L_{1}^{\perp}, N_{2} \equiv a_{2} L_{2}^{\perp}$ and $N_{3} \equiv a_{3} L_{3}^{\perp}$ are defined and concurrent. Their common meet, the Orthocenter h, does not lie on $L_{1}, L_{2}$ or $L_{3}$.

Proof. If $\overline{a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}}$ is not a right triangle, then none of the points $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}$ are dual to the opposite lines $L_{1}, L_{2}, L_{3}$, so the three altitudes $N_{1} \equiv a_{1} L_{1}^{\perp}, N_{2} \equiv a_{2} L_{2}^{\perp}$ and $N_{3} \equiv a_{3} L_{3}^{\perp}$ are well-defined. Set $h \equiv N_{1} N_{2}$, with the idea of proving
that $N_{3}$ is also incident with $h$. Now $h$ does not lie on any of the lines $L_{1}, L_{2}$ or $L_{3}$, since otherwise $\overline{a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}}$ would be a right triangle, contrary to our assumption. From the Fundamental theorem of projective geometry, we can apply a linear transformation to change coordinates so that
$a_{1}=[1: 0: 0], a_{2}=[0: 1: 0], a_{3}=[0: 0: 1], h=[1: 1: 1]$.
It follows that
$L_{1}=a_{2} a_{3}=[0: 1: 0] \times[0: 0: 1]=\langle 1: 0: 0\rangle$,
$L_{2}=a_{1} a_{3}=[1: 0: 0] \times[0: 0: 1]=\langle 0: 1: 0\rangle$,
$L_{3}=a_{1} a_{2}=[1: 0: 0] \times[0: 1: 0]=\langle 0: 0: 1\rangle$,
and
$N_{1}=a_{1} h=[1: 0: 0] \times[1: 1: 1]=\langle 0: 1:-1\rangle$,
$N_{2}=a_{2} h=[0: 1: 0] \times[1: 1: 1]=\langle 1: 0:-1\rangle$.
Suppose that the inverse projective matrix $\mathbf{B}$ for the quadratic form in these new coordinates is
$\mathbf{B} \equiv\left[\begin{array}{lll}a & d & e \\ d & b & f \\ e & f & c\end{array}\right]$.
Then since $L_{1} \perp N_{1}$
$\langle 1: 0: 0\rangle^{T} \mathbf{B}\langle 0: 1:-1\rangle=[d-e]=0$
and since $L_{2} \perp N_{2}$
$\langle 0: 1: 0\rangle^{T} \mathbf{B}\langle 1: 0:-1\rangle=[d-f]=0$.
From these two equations we deduce that $e=f$, so that also
$\langle 0: 0: 1\rangle^{T} \mathbf{B}\langle 1:-1: 0\rangle=[e-f]=0$,
which implies that $a_{3} h=\langle 1:-1: 0\rangle$ is indeed perpendicular to $L_{3}$. So $N_{3}=a_{3} L_{3}^{\perp}=a_{3} h$ passes through $h$, which does not lie on $L_{1}, L_{2}$ or $L_{3}$.

Theorem 3 (Ortholinear forms) If $a_{1}=[1: 0: 0], a_{2}=$ $[0: 1: 0], a_{3}=[0: 0: 1]$ and $h=[1: 1: 1]$ is the orthocenter of $\overline{a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}}$, then either
$\mathbf{B}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}a & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & b & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & c\end{array}\right] \quad$ or $\quad \mathbf{B}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & c\end{array}\right]$.
The second possibility occurs precisely when $\overline{a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}}$ is a fully right triangle: any two of its lines are perpendicular.

Proof. This follows from the proof of the previous theorem: the orthocenter being $h$ implies that $d=e=f$. So up to a re-scaling, the possibilities are either $d=e=f=1$ or $d=e=f=0$.
Let us now consider the second alternative: where
$\mathbf{B}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & c\end{array}\right]$
and each of $a, b, c$ is non-zero by assumption. This then yields the dual points of the triangle to be $\langle 1: 0: 0\rangle^{T} \mathbf{B}=$ $[1: 0: 0]$, and also $[0: 1: 0]$ and $[0: 0: 1]$. The dual points are then exactly the same as the original points, so this is a fully right triangle: all three points and lines are mutually perpendicular.

To summarize, we state the following result.
Theorem 4 (Ortholinear coordinates) If the triangle $\overline{a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}}$ is not a right triangle, then we may change coordinates so that the bilinear form is given by the pair of projective matrices
$\mathbf{B}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}a & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & b & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & c\end{array}\right], \quad \mathbf{A}=\mathbf{B}^{-1}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}1-b c & c-1 & b-1 \\ c-1 & 1-a c & a-1 \\ b-1 & a-1 & 1-a b\end{array}\right]$
which depend only on the three numbers $a, b, c$, and so that $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}$ and the orthocenter $h$ have the forms
$a_{1} \equiv[1: 0: 0], a_{2} \equiv[0: 1: 0], a_{3} \equiv[0: 0: 1], h \equiv[1: 1: 1]$.
We say refer to this as the standard bilinear form, and that $\overline{a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}}$ is the standard triangle, or just the Triangle. The coordinates of this framework are called ortholinear coordinates. We will henceforth assume that we have made this choice of coordinates.
The duals of the Altitudes $N_{1}=\langle 0: 1:-1\rangle, N_{2}=$ $\langle 1: 0:-1\rangle, N_{3}=\langle 1:-1: 0\rangle$ are the Altitude points
$n_{1}=N_{1}^{T} \mathbf{B}=[0: b-1: 1-c]$,
$n_{2}=N_{2}^{T} \mathbf{B}=[a-1: 0: 1-c]$,
$n_{3}=N_{3}^{T} \mathbf{B}=[a-1: 1-b: 0]$.
The dual of the Orthocenter $h=[1: 1: 1]$ is the Ortholine
$H=\mathbf{A}[1: 1: 1]^{T}=\langle b+c-b c-1: a+c-a c-1: a+b-a b-1\rangle$.
Theorem 5 (Null points/lines) The point $p \equiv[x: y: z]$ in Ortholinear coordinates is a null point precisely when
$(1-b c) x^{2}+(1-a c) y^{2}+(1-a b) z^{2}+$
$+2(c-1) x y+2(b-1) x z+2(a-1) y z=0$.
The line $L \equiv\langle l: m: n\rangle$ is a null line precisely when
$a l^{2}+b m^{2}+c n^{2}+2 l m+2 l n+2 m n=0$.

Proof. These follow by using (13) to expand the respective conditions
$[x: y: z] \mathbf{A}[x: y: z]^{T}=0$ and
$\langle l: m: n\rangle^{T} \mathbf{B}\langle l: m: n\rangle=0$.
Corollary 1 Using ortholinear coordinates, the Points $a_{1} \equiv[1: 0: 0], a_{2} \equiv[0: 1: 0]$ and $a_{3} \equiv[0: 0: 1]$ are null points precisely when $b c=1, a c=1$ and $a b=1$ respectively, and the Lines $L_{1} \equiv\langle 1: 0: 0\rangle, L_{2} \equiv\langle 0: 1: 0\rangle$ and $L_{3} \equiv\langle 0: 0: 1\rangle$ are null lines precisely when $a=0, b=0$ and $c=0$ respectively.

Define
$D \equiv a b c-a-b-c+2$.
Then it is straightforward to check that
$\operatorname{det} B=\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{lll}a & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & b & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & c\end{array}\right)=D \quad$ and
$\operatorname{det} A=\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}1-b c & c-1 & b-1 \\ c-1 & 1-a c & a-1 \\ b-1 & a-1 & 1-a b\end{array}\right)=-D^{2}$.
Theorem 6 (Triangle quadrances and spreads) Using
Ortholinear coordinates, the quadrances $q_{1} \equiv q\left(a_{2}, a_{3}\right)$, $q_{2} \equiv q\left(a_{1}, a_{3}\right), q_{3} \equiv q\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right)$ and spreads $S_{1} \equiv S\left(L_{2}, L_{3}\right)$, $S_{2} \equiv S\left(L_{1}, L_{3}\right), S_{3} \equiv S\left(L_{1}, L_{2}\right)$ of the standard Triangle $\overline{a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}}$ are
$q_{1}=\frac{-D a}{(a b-1)(a c-1)}, q_{2}=\frac{-D b}{(a b-1)(b c-1)}$,
$q_{3}=\frac{-D c}{(a c-1)(b c-1)}$
and
$S_{1}=\frac{b c-1}{b c}, S_{2}=\frac{a c-1}{a c}, S_{3}=\frac{a b-1}{a b}$.
These numbers also satisfy
$1-q_{1}=\frac{(a-1)^{2}}{(a b-1)(a c-1)}$,
$1-q_{2}=\frac{(b-1)^{2}}{(a b-1)(b c-1)}$,
$1-q_{3}=\frac{(c-1)^{2}}{(a c-1)(b c-1)}$
and
$1-S_{1}=\frac{1}{b c}, 1-S_{2}=\frac{1}{a c}, 1-S_{3}=\frac{1}{a b}$.

Proof. These are straightforward computations.
Although it will play only a small role in this paper, we also introduce the most important number associated to the Triangle, and a formula for it in terms of $a, b, c$.

Theorem 7 (Triangle quadrea) The quadrea $\mathfrak{A}$ of the triangle $\overline{a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}}$ is
$\mathcal{A} \equiv q_{2} q_{3} S_{1}=q_{1} q_{3} S_{2}=q_{1} q_{2} S_{3}=\frac{D^{2}}{(a b-1)(a c-1)(b c-1)}$.
Proof. This follows directly from the formulas of the previous theorem.

We cannot help but point out an important trigonometric formula that follows from this: the Extended Spread law asserts that
$\frac{S_{1}}{q_{1}}=\frac{S_{2}}{q_{2}}=\frac{S_{3}}{q_{3}}=\frac{\mathcal{A}}{q_{1} q_{2} q_{3}}$.

### 2.2 Cevians, traces, Desargues theorem and Canonical lines

Consider a variable point $p \equiv[x: y: z]$ distinct from the Points $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}$ of the Triangle $\overline{a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}}$. The lines $a_{1} p, a_{2} p, a_{3} p$ are the Cevian lines, or just Cevians, of $p$. These are
$a_{1} p=[x: y: z] \times[1: 0: 0]=\langle 0: z:-y\rangle$,
$a_{2} p=[x: y: z] \times[0: 1: 0]=\langle z: 0:-x\rangle$,
$a_{3} p=[x: y: z] \times[0: 0: 1]=\langle y:-x: 0\rangle$.
The points $t_{1} \equiv\left(a_{1} p\right) L_{1}, t_{2} \equiv\left(a_{2} p\right) L_{2}, t_{3} \equiv\left(a_{3} p\right) L_{1}$ are the trace points, or just traces, of $p$. These are
$t_{1}=\langle 0: z:-y\rangle \times\langle 1: 0: 0\rangle=[0: y: z]$,
$t_{2}=\langle z: 0:-x\rangle \times\langle 0: 1: 0\rangle=[x: 0: z]$,
$t_{3}=\langle y:-x: 0\rangle \times\langle 0: 0: 1\rangle=[x: y: 0]$.
Theorem 8 (Desargues theorem) Suppose that $p \equiv$ $[x: y: z]$ is a point that does not lie on any of the Lines of the triangle, with traces $t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3}$. Then the points $g_{1} \equiv\left(t_{2} t_{3}\right) L_{1}, g_{2} \equiv\left(t_{1} t_{3}\right) L_{2}, g_{3} \equiv\left(t_{1} t_{2}\right) L_{3}$ are collinear, and their join is the line $S(p) \equiv\langle y z: x z: x y\rangle$.

Proof. Using the formulas above for the traces, we compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{1} \equiv\left(t_{2} t_{3}\right) L_{1} & =\langle-y z: x z: x y\rangle \times\langle 1: 0: 0\rangle \\
& =[0: x y:-x z]=[0: y:-z], \\
g_{2} \equiv\left(t_{1} t_{3}\right) L_{2} & =\langle y z:-x z: x y\rangle \times\langle 0: 1: 0\rangle \\
& =[x y: 0:-y z]=[x: 0:-z], \\
g_{3} \equiv\left(t_{1} t_{2}\right) L_{3} & =\langle y z: x z:-x y\rangle \times\langle 0: 0: 1\rangle \\
& =[x z:-y z: 0]=[x:-y: 0] .
\end{aligned}
$$

We have used the fact that $x, y, z$ are all non-zero, by assumption, to cancel these common factors as they occur. The points $g_{1}, g_{2}, g_{3}$ are collinear since
$\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}0 & y & -z \\ x & 0 & -z \\ x & -y & 0\end{array}\right)=0$
and their join is
$[0: y:-z] \times[x: 0:-z]=\langle y z: x z: x y\rangle \equiv S(p)$.


Figure 5: Cevians, traces and the lines $S(p)$ and $A(p)$ Associated to $p$ is the dual of the line $S(p)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
s(p) & \equiv S(p)^{\perp}=\langle y z: x z: x y\rangle^{T} \mathbf{B} \\
& =[x y+x z+a y z: x y+y z+b x z: x z+y z+c x y] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Furthermore, the join of $p$ and $s(p)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
A(p) \equiv p s(p)= & \left\langle y^{2} z-y z^{2}+c x y^{2}-b x z^{2}:\right. \\
& x z^{2}-x^{2} z+a y z^{2}-c y x^{2}: \\
& \left.x^{2} y-x y^{2}+b z x^{2}-a z y^{2}\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

is the canonical line of the generic point $p$. This is an interesting and important construction that is not available in Euclidean geometry, and it has many applications. In the special case when $p=h$, the Orthocenter of $\overline{a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}}$, the canonical line $A \equiv A(h)$ will be called the Orthoaxis of the triangle, and will be seen to be the most important line in triangle geometry.
There is also a dual formulation: consider a line $M$ distinct from the Lines $L_{1}, L_{2}, L_{3}$. The points $L_{1} M, L_{2} M, L_{3} M$ are the Menelaus points of $M$. If $M \equiv\langle l: m: n\rangle$ then the Menelaus points are
$L_{1} M=[0: n:-m], L_{2} M=[n: 0:-l], L_{3} M=[m:-l: 0]$.
The lines $T_{1} \equiv\left(L_{1} M\right) a_{1}, T_{2} \equiv\left(L_{2} M\right) a_{2}, T_{3} \equiv\left(L_{3} M\right) a_{3}$ are the trace lines of $M$, these are
$T_{1}=\langle 0: m: n\rangle, T_{2}=\langle l: 0: n\rangle, T_{3}=\langle l: m: 0\rangle$.

Theorem 9 (Desargues dual theorem) Suppose that $M=\langle l: m: n\rangle$ is a line that does not pass through any of the Points of the triangle, with trace lines $T_{1}, T_{2}, T_{3}$. Then the lines $\left(T_{2} T_{3}\right) a_{1},\left(T_{1} T_{3}\right) a_{2},\left(T_{1} T_{2}\right) a_{3}$ are concurrent, and they pass through the point $[m n: \ln : l m]$.

Proof. This is dual to the previous theorem.
Note that the transforms implicit in both these theorems are of the form $x: y: z \rightarrow x^{-1}: y^{-1}: z^{-1}$ which makes it clear that they are inverses of each other.

### 2.3 Existence of midpoints and bilines

Theorem 10 (Side midpoints) Suppose that $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$ are non-null, non-perpendicular points, forming a nonnull side $\overline{p_{1} p_{2}}$. Then $\overline{p_{1} p_{2}}$ has a non-null midpoint $m$ precisely when $1-q\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right)$ is a square, and in this case there are exactly two perpendicular midpoints $m$.

Proof. We suppose without loss of generality that $p_{1}=$ $a_{1} \equiv[1: 0: 0]$ and $p_{2}=a_{2} \equiv[0: 1: 0]$ so that by the Triangle quadrances and spreads theorem
$1-q\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right)=\frac{(c-1)^{2}}{(b c-1)(a c-1)}$.
By assumption each of $c-1, b c-1$ and $a c-1$ are nonzero. An arbitrary point $m$ on $a b=\langle 0: 0: 1\rangle$ has the form $m=[x: y: 0]$, which is null precisely when $(b c-1) x^{2}+$ $(a c-1) y^{2}+2(1-c) x y=0$, by the Null point theorem.
Assuming that $m$ is non-null, we compute that
$q\left(p_{1}, m\right)=\frac{D c y^{2}}{(b c-1)\left((b c-1) x^{2}+(a c-1) y^{2}+2(1-c) x y\right)}$
$q\left(p_{2}, m\right)=\frac{D c x^{2}}{(a c-1)\left((b c-1) x^{2}+(a c-1) y^{2}+2(1-c) x y\right)}$.
By assumption $\overline{p_{1} p_{2}}$ is non-null, so by the Corollary to the Null points/lines theorem, $c \neq 0$, and so the above expressions are equal precisely when $x^{2}(b c-1)=y^{2}(a c-1)$ has a solution, which occurs precisely when $1-q\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right)$ is a square. In fact if
$\frac{1}{(b c-1)(a c-1)}=r^{2}$
then the two midpoints are $m=[(a c-1) r: \pm 1: 0]$, and they are perpendicular, since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {[(a c-1) r: 1: 0] \mathbf{A}[(a c-1) r:-1: 0]^{T} } \\
= & (a c-1)\left(1-(b c-1)(a c-1) r^{2}\right)=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

We refer to the pair of midpoints $m$ of a side as opposites. It follows that the dual midline $M$ of a midpoint $m$ passes through the opposite midpoint. While the next theorem is dual to the previous one, we give a direct proof.

Theorem 11 (Vertex bilines) Suppose that $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ are non-null non-perpendicular lines forming a non-null vertex $\overline{L_{1} L_{2}}$. Then $\overline{L_{1} L_{2}}$ has a non-null biline $B$ precisely when $1-S\left(L_{1}, L_{2}\right)$ is a square, and in this case there are exactly two perpendicular bilines $B$.

Proof. We suppose without loss of generality that $L_{1}=$ $\langle 1: 0: 0\rangle$ and $L_{2}=\langle 0: 1: 0\rangle$, so that from the Triangle quadrances/spreads theorem
$1-S\left(L_{1}, L_{2}\right)=\frac{1}{a b}$.
An arbitrary line through $L_{1} L_{2}=[0: 0: 1]$ has the form $B=\langle l: m: 0\rangle$, which by the Null spread theorem is null precisely when $a l^{2}+b m^{2}+2 l m=0$, and then
$S\left(L_{1}, B\right)=\frac{(a b-1) m^{2}}{a\left(a l^{2}+b m^{2}+2 l m\right)} \quad$ and
$S\left(L_{2}, B\right)=\frac{(a b-1) l^{2}}{b\left(a l^{2}+b m^{2}+2 l m\right)}$.
By assumption $\overline{L_{1} L_{2}}$ is non-null, so by the Corollary to the Null points/lines theorem, $a b-1 \neq 0$, and so the above expressions are equal precisely when $l^{2} a=m^{2} b$ has a solution, which occurs precisely when $1-S\left(L_{1}, L_{2}\right)$ is a square. In fact if
$\frac{1}{a b}=w^{2}$
then the two bilines are $B=\langle l: m: 0\rangle=\langle b w: \pm 1: 0\rangle$ and they are perpendicular since

$$
\langle b w: 1: 0\rangle^{T} \mathbf{B}\langle b w:-1: 0\rangle=b\left(a b w^{2}-1\right)=0 .
$$

We refer to the pair of bilines $B$ of a vertex as opposites. It follows that the dual bipoint $b$ of a biline $B$ lies on the opposite biline.

## 3 Orthocenter Hierarchy

We now initiate our study of triangle geometry constructions involving perpendicularity. The focus is on the Orthocenter $h$ and various other key points that are related to the most important line in the subject: the Orthoaxis $A$. The computations are based on ortholinear coordinates; finding meets and joins, which essentially amount to taking cross products; and finding duals, either by multiplying transposes of points by $\mathbf{A}$ (on the left) or transposes of lines by $\mathbf{B}$ (on the right). Our goal is to establish formulas for important points and lines to facilitate the understanding of relationships between them: the reader is encouraged to follow along and check our computations, which are mostly elementary.

### 3.1 Triangle lines, dual points, dual lines

We start with a review of the basic Triangle $\overline{a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}}$, whose Points $a$ and Lines $L$ are
$a_{1}=[1: 0: 0], a_{2}=[0: 1: 0], a_{3}=[0: 0: 1]$ and
$L_{1}=\langle 1: 0: 0\rangle, L_{2}=\langle 0: 1: 0\rangle, L_{3}=\langle 0: 0: 1\rangle$.
The Dual points $l_{1} \equiv L_{1}^{\perp}, l_{2} \equiv L_{2}^{\perp}, l_{3} \equiv L_{3}^{\perp}$ are the duals of the Lines $L$, and the Dual lines $A_{1} \equiv a_{1}^{\perp}, A_{2} \equiv a_{2}^{\perp}, A_{3} \equiv a_{3}^{\perp}$ are the duals of the Points $a$. These are
$l_{1}=[a: 1: 1], l_{2}=[1: b: 1], l_{3}=[1: 1: c] \quad$ and
$A_{1}=\langle 1-b c: c-1: b-1\rangle$,
$A_{2}=\langle c-1: 1-a c: a-1\rangle$,
$A_{3}=\langle b-1: a-1: 1-a b\rangle$.
The Altitudes are $N_{1} \equiv a_{1} l_{1}, N_{2} \equiv a_{2} l_{2}, N_{3} \equiv a_{3} l_{3}$, and the Altitude dual points are $n_{1} \equiv A_{1} L_{1}, n_{2} \equiv A_{2} L_{2}, n_{3} \equiv A_{3} L_{3}$. These are, as established previously,
$N_{1}=\langle 0: 1:-1\rangle, N_{2}=\langle 1: 0:-1\rangle, N_{3}=\langle 1:-1: 0\rangle$ and $n_{1}=[0: b-1: 1-c], n_{2}=[a-1: 0: 1-c]$, $n_{3}=[a-1: 1-b: 0]$.

The dual of the Orthocenter $h=[1: 1: 1]$ is the Ortholine $H=\langle b+c-b c-1: a+c-a c-1: a+b-a b-1\rangle$.


Figure 6: Altitudes, Base points, Orthocenter h, Orthline $H$ and Orthic triangle
The Base points $b_{1} \equiv N_{1} L_{1}, b_{2} \equiv N_{2} L_{2}, b_{3} \equiv N_{3} L_{3}$ are the meets of corresponding Altitudes $N$ and Lines $L$, and the Base lines $B_{1} \equiv n_{1} l_{1}, B_{2} \equiv n_{2} l_{2}, B_{3} \equiv n_{3} l_{3}$ are their duals. These are
$b_{1}=[0: 1: 1], b_{2}=[1: 0: 1], b_{3}=[1: 1: 0] \quad$ and
$B_{1}=\langle b+c-2: a(1-c): a(1-b)\rangle$,
$B_{2}=\langle b(1-c): a+c-2: b(1-a)\rangle$,
$B_{3}=\langle c(1-b): c(1-a): a+b-2\rangle$.

The Orthic lines $C_{1} \equiv b_{2} b_{3}, C_{2} \equiv b_{1} b_{3}, C_{3} \equiv b_{1} b_{2}$ are the joins of Base points $b$, and the Orthic points $c_{1} \equiv B_{2} B_{3}$, $c_{2} \equiv B_{1} B_{3}, c_{3} \equiv B_{1} B_{2}$ are the meets of Base lines $B$. These are
$\begin{aligned} C_{1} & =\langle-1: 1: 1\rangle, C_{2}=\langle 1:-1: 1\rangle, C_{3}=\langle 1: 1:-1\rangle, \\ c_{1} & =[2-a: b: c], c_{2}=[a: 2-b: c], c_{3}=[a: b: 2-c] .\end{aligned}$
The Orthic triangle $\overline{b_{1} b_{2} b_{3}}$ is perspective with the Triangle $\overline{a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}}$, with center of perspectivity the Orthocenter $h$, since the Altitudes are the lines of perspectivity.

Theorem 12 (Triangle Base center) The Orthic dual triangle $\overline{c_{1} c_{2} c_{3}}$ is perspective with the Triangle $\overline{a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}}$, and the center of perspectivity is the Base center $b=[a: b: c]$.

Proof. We compute the lines
$a_{1} c_{1}=[1: 0: 0] \times[2-a: b: c]=\langle 0:-c: b\rangle$,
$a_{2} c_{2}=[0: 1: 0] \times[a: 2-b: c]=\langle c: 0:-a\rangle$,
$a_{3} c_{3}=[0: 0: 1] \times[a: b: 2-c]=\langle-b: a: 0\rangle$
and check that these are all incident with $b \equiv[a: b: c]$.


Figure 7: Orthic dual triangle $\overline{c_{1} c_{2} c_{3}}$ and Base center $b$
Note that there is a bit of duplication of symbols here, the letter $b$ being used in the same formula with two different meanings, hopefully without undue confusion. The Base center is an important triangle point, as we shall see; its dual is the Base axis

$$
\begin{aligned}
B \equiv & \langle a-b-c+2 b c-a b c: \\
& -a+b-c+2 a c-a b c: \\
& -a-b+c+2 a b-a b c\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

Figure 7 shows the three Orthic lines $C_{1}, C_{2}, C_{3}$ but only one of the Orthic points, namely $c_{1}$, since the other points are off the screen. The mathematical symmetry between points and lines is not respected by our biology; lines tend to be more visible, while points are simpler.

### 3.2 Orthic axis and Orthoaxis (they are different!)

The Desargues points $g_{1} \equiv C_{1} L_{1}, g_{2} \equiv C_{2} L_{2}, g_{3} \equiv C_{3} L_{3}$ are the meets of corresponding Orthic lines $C$ and Lines $L$, and the Desargues lines $G_{1} \equiv c_{1} l_{1}, G_{2} \equiv c_{2} l_{2}, G_{3} \equiv c_{3} l_{3}$ are the joins of corresponding Orthic points and Dual points. These are
$g_{1}=[0: 1:-1], g_{2}=[1: 0:-1], g_{3}=[1:-1: 0] \quad$ and $G_{1}=\langle b-c: a+a c-2: 2-a-a b\rangle$,
$G_{2}=\langle 2-b a-b: c-a: b+a b-2\rangle$,
$G_{3}=\langle c+b c-2: 2-a c-c: a-b\rangle$.
Theorem 13 (Triangle orthic axis) The Desargues points $g_{1}, g_{2}, g_{3}$ are collinear, and lie on the Orthic axis $S \equiv\langle 1: 1: 1\rangle$. The Desargues lines $G_{1}, G_{2}, G_{3}$ are concurrent, and pass through the Orthostar $s \equiv$ $[a+2: b+2: c+2]$. The Orthic axis and Orthostar are dual.


Figure 8: Desargues points $g$, Orthic axis $S$, Orthoaxis A
Proof. The Desargues points $g_{1}, g_{2}, g_{3}$ are collinear either by Desargues theorem applied to the Cevian triangle $\overline{b_{1} b_{2} b_{3}}$ of the Orthocenter $h$, or directly since
$\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}0 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & 0\end{array}\right)=0$.
Their join, the Orthic axis, is

$$
\begin{aligned}
S \equiv g_{1} g_{2} & =[0: 1:-1] \times[1: 0:-1]=\langle-1:-1:-1\rangle \\
& =\langle 1: 1: 1\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

Dually the Desargues lines $G_{1}, G_{2}, G_{3}$ are concurrent, which we can check by evaluating the corresponding determinant. The common point through which they pass is the Orthostar
$s \equiv S^{\perp}=\langle 1: 1: 1\rangle^{T} B=[a+2: b+2: c+2]$.
This is clearly dual to the Orthic axis.

We now come to the feature attraction of this paper: the Orthoaxis $A$ is the join of the Orthocenter $h$ and the Orthostar $s$, or equivalently the canonical line of $h$. It is

$$
\begin{aligned}
A \equiv h s & =[1: 1: 1] \times[a+2: b+2: c+2] \\
& =\langle c-b: a-c: b-a\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that the Orthoaxis $A$ is perpendicular to the Orthic axis $S$, since the Orthostar $s$ lies on the Orthoaxis. The Orthoaxis is the most important line in projective triangle geometry.

The Orthoaxis point $a \equiv H S$ is the dual of the Orthoaxis; it is

$$
\begin{aligned}
a & =A^{\perp}=\langle c-b: a-c: b-a\rangle^{T} \mathbf{B} \\
& =[(a-1)(b-c):(b-1)(a-c):(c-1)(a-b)] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 14 (Base center on Orthoaxis) The Base center b lies on the Orthoaxis A.

Proof. We check incidence between the Base center $b=$ $[a: b: c]$ and the Orthoaxis $A=\langle c-b: a-c: b-a\rangle$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
b A & =[a: b: c]\langle c-b: a-c: b-a\rangle \\
& =[a(c-b)+b(a-c)+c(b-a)]=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The AntiOrthic lines $T_{1} \equiv a_{1} g_{1}, T_{2} \equiv a_{2} g_{2}, T_{3} \equiv a_{3} g_{3}$ are the joins of corresponding Points $a$ and Desargues points $g$, and the AntiOrthic points $t_{1} \equiv A_{1} G_{1}, t_{2} \equiv A_{2} G_{2}, t_{3} \equiv$ $A_{3} G_{3}$ are the meets of corresponding Dual lines $A$ and Desargues lines $G$. They have the form
$T_{1}=\langle 0: 1: 1\rangle, T_{2}=\langle 1: 0: 1\rangle, T_{3}=\langle 1: 1: 0\rangle \quad$ and
$t_{1}=[2: b+1: c+1]$,
$t_{2}=[a+1: 2: c+1]$,
$t_{3}=[a+1: b+1: 2]$.

The AntiBase points $e_{1} \equiv T_{2} T_{3}, e_{2} \equiv T_{1} T_{3}, e_{3} \equiv T_{1} T_{2}$ are the meets of AntiOrthic lines $T$, and the AntiBase lines $E_{1} \equiv t_{2} t_{3}, E_{2} \equiv t_{1} t_{3}, E_{3} \equiv t_{1} t_{2}$ are the joins of AntiOrthic points $t$. They have the form
$e_{1}=[-1: 1: 1], e_{2}=[1:-1: 1], e_{3}=[1: 1:-1]$
and
$E_{1}=\langle b+c+b c-3:(1-c)(a+1):(1-b)(a+1)\rangle$,
$E_{2}=\langle(1-c)(b+1):(a+c+a c-3):(1-a)(b+1)\rangle$,
$E_{3}=\langle(1-b)(c+1):(1-a)(c+1):(a+b+a b-3)\rangle$.


Figure 9: AntiBase points and the AntiOrthic triangle $\overline{e_{1} e_{2} e_{3}}$

Theorem 15 (AntiOrthic perspectivity) The AntiOrthic triangle $\overline{e_{1} e_{2} e_{3}}$ and the Triangle $\overline{a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}}$ are perspective from the Orthocenter $h$.

Proof. This is equivalent to the statement that the Altitudes $N$ pass through the corresponding AntiBase points $e$. For example $N_{1}$ is incident with $e_{1}$, since $e_{1} N_{1}=$ $[-1: 1: 1]\langle 0: 1:-1\rangle=0$, and similarly $N_{2}$ is incident with $e_{2}$, and $N_{3}$ is incident with $e_{3}$.

It is perhaps worth mentioning that one can work out formulas for these various constructs directly in hyperbolic geometry in terms of the coordinates of a general triangle. However this proves rather taxing; even the Orthocenter involves for each coefficient a homogeneous polynomial of degree six with 24 terms. The system presented here punches far above its weight, as the relative simplicity of the formulas so far confirms.

### 3.3 Parallels and the Double triangle

In universal hyperbolic geometry, the notion of parallel is more specialized than in classical hyperbolic geometry. We do not refer to two lines (or two points) as being parallel. Rather we refer to a line $P$ through a point $a$ being parallel to a line $L$ : it means that $P$ is perpendicular to the altitude from $a$ to $L$. In Euclidean geometry this is like defining parallel lines to be "perpendicular to a perpendicular": a local definition rather than a global one. This motivates the important construction of the Double triangle of a Triangle.
The Parallel lines $P_{1} \equiv a_{1} n_{1}, P_{2} \equiv a_{2} n_{2}, P_{3} \equiv a_{3} n_{3}$ are the joins of corresponding Points $a$ and Altitude points $n$, and the Parallel points $p_{1} \equiv A_{1} N_{1}, p_{2} \equiv A_{2} N_{2}, p_{3} \equiv A_{3} N_{3}$ are their duals: meets of corresponding Dual lines $A$ and

Altitudes $N$. These are
$P_{1}=\langle 0: c-1: b-1\rangle, P_{2}=\langle c-1: 0: a-1\rangle$,
$P_{3}=\langle b-1: a-1: 0\rangle$ and
$p_{1}=[2-b-c: 1-b c: 1-b c]$,
$p_{2}=[1-a c: 2-a-c: 1-a c]$,
$p_{3}=[1-a b: 1-a b: 2-a-b]$.
The Double points $d_{1} \equiv P_{2} P_{3}, d_{2} \equiv P_{1} P_{3}, d_{3} \equiv P_{1} P_{2}$ are the meets of Parallel lines $P$, and the Double lines $D_{1} \equiv p_{2} p_{3}$, $D_{2} \equiv p_{1} p_{3}, D_{3} \equiv p_{1} p_{2}$ are the joins of Parallel points $p$. These are
$d_{1}=[1-a: b-1: c-1], d_{2}=[a-1: 1-b: c-1]$,
$d_{3}=[a-1: b-1: 1-c] \quad$ and
$D_{1}=\langle a+2 b+2 c-b c-a b c-3:(a c-1)(b-1):(a b-1)(c-1)\rangle$, $D_{2}=\langle(b c-1)(a-1): 2 a+b+2 c-a c-a b c-3:(a b-1)(c-1)\rangle$,
$D_{3}=\langle(b c-1)(a-1):(a c-1)(b-1): 2 a+2 b+c-a b-a b c-3\rangle$.


Figure 10: Parallel lines and the Double triangle $\overline{d_{1} d_{2} d_{3}}$
The triangle $\overline{d_{1} d_{2} d_{3}}$ is the Double triangle of the Triangle $\overline{a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}}$. The following theorems seem remarkable.

Theorem 16 (Double triangle midpoint) The Points $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}$ are midpoints of the Double triangle $\overline{d_{1} d_{2} d_{3}}$.

Proof. Using the expressions above, we compute that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& q\left(a_{3}, d_{1}\right)= \\
& \frac{D(a+b-2)}{4 a+4 b+4 c-a b-a c-b c-a^{2}-b^{2}-c^{2}+a b c^{2}+a b^{2} c+a^{2} b c-4 a b c-5} \\
& =q\left(a_{3}, d_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $D$ is the determinant defined in (15), and where a common factor of $(a b-1)$ in the numerator and denominator has been cancelled provided that $a b \neq 1$. So $a_{3}$ is a midpoint of $\overline{d_{1} d_{2}}$. Similarly $a_{1}$ is a midpoint of $\overline{d_{2} d_{3}}$, and $a_{2}$ is a midpoint of $\overline{d_{1} d_{3}}$.

Theorem 17 (Double triangle null points) The Double triangle $\overline{d_{1} d_{2} d_{3}}$ has a null point precisely when all of its points are null points, and this occurs precisely when the quadrea $\mathscr{A}$ of the Triangle $\overline{a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}}$ is equal to 1 .

Proof. Using the Null point theorem, $d_{1}=[1-a: b-1: c-1]$ is null precisely when

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =(1-b c)(1-a)^{2}+(1-a c)(b-1)^{2}+(1-a b)(c-1)^{2} \\
& +(2 c-2)(1-a)(b-1)+(2 b-2)(1-a)(c-1)+ \\
& +(2 a-2)(b-1)(c-1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

After expanding and simplifying, the right hand side is the symmetric expression

$$
\begin{aligned}
5 & -4 a-4 b-4 c+a b+a c+b c+a^{2}+b^{2}+c^{2}+ \\
& +4 a b c-a b c^{2}-a b^{2} c-a^{2} b c .
\end{aligned}
$$

This same expression arises for the nullity of $d_{2}=$ $[a-1: 1-b: c-1]$ and $d_{3}=[a-1: b-1: 1-c]$, so if one point of the Double triangle is null, so are the other two.
Using the Triangle quadrea theorem, the difference between the quadrea $\mathcal{A}$ of the Triangle and 1 is
$\frac{(a b c-b-c-a+2)^{2}}{(a b-1)(a c-1)(b c-1)}-1=$
$\frac{5-4 a-4 b-4 c+a b+a c+b c+a^{2}+b^{2}+c^{2}+4 a b c-a b c^{2}-a b^{2} c-a^{2} b c}{(b c-1)(a c-1)(a b-1)}$.
So the Double triangle has null points precisely when the quadrea $\mathcal{A}$ is equal to 1 .

In our standard example, the Triangle has approximate quadrea $\mathcal{A} \approx 1.04$, which explains why the points of the Double triangle in Figure 10 appear close to being null points.

Theorem 18 (Double triangle perspectivity) The Double triangle $\overline{d_{1} d_{2} d_{3}}$ and the Triangle $\overline{a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}}$ are perspective from a point, the Double point, or x point, which is $x \equiv[a-1: b-1: c-1]$. The $x$ point lies on the Orthoaxis $A$.

Proof. We compute the lines
$a_{1} d_{1}=[1: 0: 0] \times[1-a: b-1: c-1]=\langle 0: 1-c: b-1\rangle$,
$a_{2} d_{2}=[0: 1: 0] \times[a-1: 1-b: c-1]=\langle c-1: 0: 1-a\rangle$, $a_{3} d_{3}=[0: 0: 1] \times[a-1: b-1: 1-c]=\langle 1-b: a-1: 0\rangle$.

These lines are concurrent (compute a determinant), and the common meet is

$$
\begin{aligned}
x & =\langle 0: 1-c: b-1\rangle \times\langle c-1: 0: 1-a\rangle \\
& =\left[(a-1)(c-1):(b-1)(c-1):(c-1)^{2}\right] \\
& =[a-1: b-1: c-1] .
\end{aligned}
$$

We have cancelled a common factor $c-1$; should this be zero, then the three lines are concurrent since two of them are equal. The $x$ point lies on the Orthoaxis $A \equiv h s$ since $[a-1: b-1: c-1]$ is a (projective) linear combination of the Orthocenter $h \equiv[1: 1: 1]$ and the Orthostar $s \equiv[a+2: b+2: c+2]$.


Figure 11: The Double point, or $x$ point
The dual of the $x$ point is the $X$ line

$$
\begin{aligned}
X= & \langle a-2 b-2 c+3 b c-a b c+1: \\
& -2 a+b-2 c+3 a c-a b c+1: \\
& -2 a-2 b+c+3 a b-a b c+1\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 19 (Double dual triangle perspectivity) The Double triangle $\overline{d_{1} d_{2} d_{3}}$ and the Dual triangle $\overline{l_{1} l_{2} l_{3}}$ are perspective from a point, the Double dual point, or $z$ point, which is $z \equiv[a+1: b+1: c+1]$. The $z$ point lies on the Orthoaxis.


Figure 12: The Double dual point, or z point
Proof. We compute the lines

$$
\begin{aligned}
l_{1} d_{1} & =[a: 1: 1] \times[1-a: b-1: c-1] \\
& =\langle c-b: 1-a c: a b-1\rangle, \\
l_{2} d_{2} & =[1: b: 1] \times[a-1: 1-b: c-1] \\
& =\langle b c-1: a-c: 1-a b\rangle, \\
l_{3} d_{3} & =[1: 1: c] \times[a-1: b-1: 1-c] \\
& =\langle 1-b c: a c-1: b-a\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

These lines are concurrent and their common meet is $z \equiv$ $[a+1: b+1: c+1]$. This point lies on the Orthoaxis since it is a (projective) linear combination of the Orthocenter $h \equiv[1: 1: 1]$ and the Orthostar $s \equiv[a+2: b+2: c+2]$.

The dual of the $z$ point is the $Z$ line
$Z \equiv\langle a+b c-a b c-1: b+a c-a b c-1: c+a b-a b c-1\rangle$.
The AltDual lines $K_{1} \equiv a_{1} n_{1}, K_{2} \equiv a_{2} N_{2}, K_{3} \equiv a_{3} n_{3}$ are the joins of corresponding Points $a$ and Altitude points $n$, and the AltDual points $k_{1} \equiv A_{1} N_{1}, k_{2} \equiv A_{2} N_{2}, k_{3} \equiv A_{3} N_{3}$ are meets of corresponding Dual lines $A$ and Altitudes $N$. These are
$K_{1}=\langle 0: c-1: b-1\rangle, K_{2}=\langle c-1: 0: a-1\rangle$,
$K_{3}=\langle b-1: a-1: 0\rangle \quad$ and
$k_{1}=[2-b-c: 1-b c: 1-b c]$,
$k_{2}=[1-a c: 2-a-c: 1-a c]$,
$k_{3}=[1-a b: 1-a b: 2-a-b]$.
Clearly the AltDual triangle $\overline{k_{1} k_{2} k_{3}}$ is perspective to the Triangle, since the Altitudes pass through both Points and AltDual points, but in addition, as Figure 13 suggests, it is also in perspective with the Double triangle. The center of perspectivity, the AltDual point $k$, does not generally lie on the Orthoaxis. We leave this result to the reader, as well as the following exercise investigating other perspective centers of various secondary triangles associated to our basic Triangle.


Figure 13: AltDual triangle $\overline{k_{1} k_{2} k_{3}}$ and the AltDual point $k$

Exercise 1 Show that the following pairs of triangles are perspective, and find the centers of perspectivity: i) $\overline{c_{1} C_{2} C_{3}}$ and $\overline{p_{1} p_{2} p_{3}}$, ii) $\overline{b_{1} b_{2} b_{3}}$ and $\overline{d_{1} d_{2} d_{3}}$, iii) $\overline{b_{1} b_{2} b_{3}}$ and $\overline{\bar{l}_{1} l_{2} l_{3}}$, iv) $\overline{c_{1} c_{2} c_{3}}$ and $\overline{d_{1} d_{2} d_{3}}$, v) $\overline{c_{1} c_{2} c_{3}}$ and $\overline{l_{1} l_{2} l_{3}}$, vi) $\overline{k_{1} k_{2} k_{3}}$ and $\overline{d_{1} d_{2} d_{3}}$. Can you find more?

### 3.4 Special points on the Orthoaxis

There are five interesting, and related, points on the Orthoaxis $A=\langle c-b: a-c: b-a\rangle$, namely the points $z=[a+1: b+1: c+1], \quad b=[a: b: c]$, $x=[a-1: b-1: c-1], \quad h=[1: 1: 1] \quad$ and $s=$ $[a+2: b+2: c+2]$. Of course there very well may be more! In Figure 14 we see them in this particular order.

Theorem 20 (Orthoaxis harmonic ranges) The points $z, b, x, h$ form a harmonic range. The points $z, b, h, s$ also form a harmonic range.


Figure 14: Orthoaxis A and points $z, b, x, h$ and $s$
Proof. Recall that the points $z, b, x, h$ form a harmonic range precisely when the cross ratio $R(z, x: b, h)=-1$. Define the following vectors which represent each of the five points:
$\vec{z}=(a+1, b+1, c+1)$,
$\vec{b}=(a, b, c)$,
$\vec{x}=(a-1, b-1, c-1)$,
$\vec{h}=(1,1,1)$,
$\vec{s}=(a+2, b+2, c+2)$.
Then $\vec{z}+\vec{x}=2 \vec{b}$ and $\vec{z}-\vec{x}=2 \vec{h}$ so that $b$ and $h$ are harmonic conjugates with respect to $z$ and $x$, so that $R(z, x: b, h)=-1$. Similarly $\vec{z}+\vec{h}=\vec{s}$ and $\vec{z}-\vec{h}=$ $\vec{b}$ so that $b$ and $s$ are harmonic conjugates with respect to $z$ and $h$, so that $R(z, h: b, s)=-1$.

There are three more cross ratios naturally determined by the five points. We will leave it to the reader to check that in addition
$R(z, x: b, s)=-3, R(b, h: x, s)=-1 / 2, R(z, h: x, s)=-2$.

Theorem 21 (Second double triangle perspectivity)
The Triangle $\overline{a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}}$ and the double triangle of the Double triangle $\overline{d_{1} d_{2} d_{3}}$ are perspective from the Second double point, or y point.


Figure 15: The double of the Double triangle and the $y$ point

Proof. We leave the proof to the reader. The formula for $y$ is somewhat lengthy to write out.

It is worth pointing out that in general the $y$ point does not lie on the Orthoaxis $A$, although it often, as in our example, gets very close! It is also worth noting that the obvious pattern does not appear to continue; the double of the double of the Double triangle is not in general perspective with the original Triangle.

## 4 Incenter Hierarchy

Although the Incenter and Circumcenter hierarchies are exactly dual, we treat first the former, which is closer to the Euclidean situation and so more familiar. It is also simpler, on account of the difference between (18) and (19).

### 4.1 Bilines, Incenters and Apollonians

From the Vertex bilines theorem, Bilines of the Triangle exist precisely when the spreads $S_{1}, S_{2}, S_{3}$ have the property that $1-S_{1}, 1-S_{2}, 1-S_{3}$ are all squares. In Figure 16, where we are working approximately, this amounts to each of these quantities being positive, which they are, and so there are six Bilines $B$, two opposite ones for each vertex, and six dual Bipoints $b$, two opposite ones lying on each Dual line.

From the Triangle quadrances and spreads theorem, Bilines exist precisely when we can find $u, v, w$ satisfying the quadratic relations
$\frac{1}{b c}=u^{2}, \frac{1}{a c}=v^{2}, \frac{1}{a b}=w^{2}$.

But there is also a cubic relation
$\frac{1}{a b c}=u \nu w$
which we are able to impose, by taking the product of the three quadratic relations (20), and possibly changing the sign of any or all of $u, v, w$ (they must all be non-zero).

These relations (20) and (21) will play an essential role in what follows; any triple $\{u, v, w\}$ satisfying them gives rise to three more such triples: namely $\{u,-v,-w\},\{-u, v,-w\}$ and $\{-u,-v, w\}$. So there is a fourfold Klein-type symmetry occurring here. Another implication is that we also have the relations
$u=a v w, v=b u w, w=c u v$.

We saw at the end of the proof of the Vertex bilines theorem, that Bilines for the vertex $\overline{L_{1} L_{2}}$ are $B=\langle b w: \pm 1: 0\rangle$. We see now from (22) that these can be rewritten as $\langle v: u: 0\rangle$ and $\langle v:-u: 0\rangle$.
So the Bilines $B$ are
$\langle 0: w: v\rangle,\langle 0: w:-v\rangle$ through $a_{1}$,
$\langle w: 0: u\rangle,\langle w: 0:-u\rangle$ through $a_{2}$,
$\langle v: u: 0\rangle,\langle v:-u: 0\rangle$ through $a_{3}$.

The Bipoints $b$ are dual, and are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {[v+w: v+b w: w+c v],[v-w: v-b w:-w+c v]} \\
& \quad \text { on } A_{1}, \\
& {[u+a w: u+w: w+c u],[u-a w: u-w:-w+c u]} \\
& \quad \text { on } A_{2}, \\
& {[u+a v: v+b u: u+v],[u-a v: v-b u:-u+v]}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\text { on } A_{3} \text {. }
$$



Figure 16: Bilines, Bipoints, Incenters and Inlines

Theorem 22 (Incenters) Bilines $B$ are concurrent in threes, meeting at four Incenters $i_{0}, i_{1}, i_{2}$ and $i_{3}$. Bipoints $b$ are collinear in threes, joining on four Inlines $I_{0}, I_{1}, I_{2}$ and $I_{3}$.

Proof. The following triples of Bilines $B$ are concurrent:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\langle 0: w:-v\rangle,\langle w: 0:-u\rangle,\langle v:-u: 0\rangle \\
\quad \text { through } i_{0} \equiv[u: v: w], \\
\langle 0: w:-v\rangle,\langle w: 0: u\rangle,\langle v: u: 0\rangle \\
\quad \text { through } i_{1} \equiv[-u: v: w], \\
\langle 0: w: v\rangle,\langle w: 0:-u\rangle,\langle v: u: 0\rangle \\
\quad \text { through } i_{2} \equiv[u:-v: w], \\
\langle 0: w: v\rangle,\langle w: 0: u\rangle,\langle v:-u: 0\rangle \\
\text { through } i_{3} \equiv[u: v:-w] .
\end{array}
$$

We check this by computing

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & w & -v \\
w & 0 & -u \\
v & -u & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
&=\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & w & -v \\
w & 0 & u \\
v & u & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
&=\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & w & v \\
w & 0 & -u \\
v & u & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
&=\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & w & v \\
w & 0 & u \\
v & -u & 0
\end{array}\right)=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The corresponding meets are $\langle 0: w:-v\rangle \times\langle w: 0:-u\rangle=$ $\left[-u w:-v w:-w^{2}\right]=[u: v: w] \equiv i_{0}$ and similarly for the other Incenters. The situation with Bipoints $b$ is dual.

At this point, it appears that there is no intrinsic reason to prefer one Incenter over the others; our notation seems somewhat arbitrary. However it is possible that this symmetry may eventually be broken.
Apollonian points $a$ are meets of Bilines $B$ and corresponding Lines $L$. There are six; they have the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& {[0: v: w],[0: v:-w],} \\
& {[u: 0: w],[u: 0:-w],} \\
& {[u: v: 0],[u:-v: 0]} \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

on $L_{1}, L_{2}, L_{3}$ respectively. The Apollonian lines $A$ are joins of Bipoints $b$ and corresponding Dual points $l$.


Figure 17: Apollonian points $a$ and lines A

Theorem 23 (Apollonian harmonic conjugates) The two Apollonian points on a side of the Triangle are harmonic conjugates with respect to the two Points of that side.

Proof. Consider the side $\overline{a_{2} a_{3}}$ with Apollonian points $[0: w: v]$ and $[0: w:-v]$. Then it is a standard fact that the projective points determined by the vectors $(0, v, w)$ and $(0, v,-w)$ are harmonic conjugates with respect to those determined by the vectors $(0, v, 0)$ and $(0,0, w)$.

We leave the dual result concerning Apollonian lines to the reader.

A famous property of the Apollonian points in the Euclidean case is that the three circles built from pairs of these as diameters meet at the two Isodynamic points. This property is modified in the projective setting, by introducing an important variant of a circle. Given two points $a$ and $b$, the Thaloid of the side $\overline{a b}$ is the locus of a point $p$ satisfying the property that $p a \perp p b$, or equivalently
$S(p a, p b)=1$.
It is straightforward that this is a conic. It is not generally a (metrical) circle, but shares some of its properties.
An Apollonian Thaloid is a Thaloid of a side consisting of two Apollonian points, both on a Line of the triangle. There are three Apollonian Thaloids, one for each side of the Triangle.

Theorem 24 (Isodynamic points) If two Apollonian Thaloids meet at a point s, then the third does too.

Proof. The equations of the three Thaloids are obtained directly from the forms of the Apollonians in (23) and the
defining relation (24):
$b c x^{2}-a c y^{2}-2 b z x+2 a z y=0$,
$a c y^{2}-a b z^{2}-2 c x y+2 b x z=0$,
$a b z^{2}-b c x^{2}-2 a y z+2 c x y=0$.
If we add these three equations we get zero on both sides, so they are dependent. So if two Thaloids have a common point $s$, then this is shared by the third.


Figure 18: Apollonian Thaloids and Isodynamic points $s_{1}, s_{2}$
Such a common point of the three Apollonian Thaloids is an Isodynamic point; Figure 18 shows two such: $s_{1}$ and $s_{2}$, together with the line through them. In the Euclidean case this is the Brocard line, which also passes through the orthocenter-here in the projective situation that is not generally the case, even though it appears to in this example. In the Euclidean case the centers of the three Apollonian circles are collinear, falling on the Lemoine line: something analogous happens here but it requires additional ideas which we leave for another occasion.

### 4.2 Centrians, InCentrians, Contact points and Incircles

Theorem 25 (Centrian lines) The Apollonian points a are collinear in threes, joining on four Centrian lines $J$. The Apollonian lines A are concurrent in threes, meeting atfour Centrian points $j$.

Proof. The following triples of Apollonian points are collinear:
$[0: v:-w],[u: 0:-w],[u:-v: 0]$ on $J_{0} \equiv\langle v w: u w: u v\rangle$,
$[0: v:-w],[u: 0: w],[u: v: 0]$ on $J_{1} \equiv\langle-v w: u w: u v\rangle$,
$[0: v: w],[u: 0:-w],[u: v: 0]$ on $J_{2} \equiv\langle v w:-u w: u v\rangle$,
$[0: v: w],[u: 0: w],[u:-v: 0]$ on $J_{3} \equiv\langle v w: u w:-u v\rangle$.

The collinearities may easily be checked by computing determinants. The corresponding meets are $[0: v:-w] \times$ $[u: 0:-w]=\langle v w: u w: u v\rangle \equiv J_{0}$ and similarly for the other Centrian lines. The situation with the Apollonian lines $A$ is dual; here are the formulas for the Centrian points:

$$
\begin{aligned}
j_{0} & \equiv[u v+u w+a v w: u v+v w+b u w: u w+v w+c u v], \\
j_{1} & \equiv[u v+u w-a v w: u v-v w+b u w: u w-v w+c u v], \\
j_{2} & \equiv[u v-u w+a v w: u v+v w-b u w: v w-u w+c u v], \\
j_{3} & \equiv[u w-u v+a v w: v w-u v+b u w: u w+v w-c u v] .
\end{aligned}
$$

The four Incenters and the four Centrian points are corresponding, since the three Bilines that meet in an Incenter also give rise to the three Apollonian points lying on a particular Centrian line, which is dual to a particular Centrian point. The InCentrian lines are joins of corresponding Incenters $i$ and Centrian points $j$. These have the form
$i_{0} j_{0}=\langle c v-b w: a w-c u: b u-a v\rangle$,
$i_{1} j_{1}=\langle c v-b w: a w+c u:-b u-a v\rangle$,
$i_{2} j_{2}=\langle-c v-b w: a w-c u: b u+a v\rangle$,
$i_{3} j_{3}=\langle c v+b w:-a w-c u: b u-a v\rangle$.
While it is easy to check that the Incenters lie on these InCentrian lines, showing that the Centrian points do so requires the quadratic relations. (The reader is encouraged to check this).

The InCentrian points are meets of corresponding Inlines $I$ and Centrian lines $J$, and are dual to the InCentrian lines.


Figure 19: Centrians, Incentrians and Base center b

Theorem 26 (InCentrian center) The four InCentrian lines are concurrent, and meet at the Base center $b$. The four InCentrian points are collinear, and join on the Base axis $B$.

Proof. The InCentrian line $i_{0} j_{0}=\langle c v-b w: a w-c u: b u-a v\rangle$ passes through $b=[a: b: c]$ since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {[a: b: c]\langle c v-b w: a w-c u: b u-a v\rangle} \\
& \quad=[(c v-b w) a+(a w-c u) b+(b u-a v) c]=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly $j_{1} i_{1}, j_{2} i_{2}, j_{3} i_{3}$ also pass through $b$. The second statement follows by duality.

The InDual lines are joins of Incenters $i$ and Dual points $l$. They may also be described as altitudes from Incenters to the Lines, and there are 12. The InDual lines associated to the Incenters are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \langle v-w: a w-u: u-a v\rangle,\langle v-b w: w-u: b u-v\rangle, \\
& \quad\langle c v-w: w-c u: u-v\rangle \text { to } i_{0}=[u: v: w], \\
& \langle v-w: a w+u:-u-a v\rangle,\langle v-b w: w+u:-b u-v\rangle, \\
& \quad\langle c v-w: w+c u:-u-v\rangle \text { to } i_{1}=[-u: v: w], \\
& \langle-v-w: a w-u: u+a v\rangle,\langle-v-b w: w-u: b u+v\rangle, \\
& \quad\langle-c v-w: w-c u: u+v\rangle \text { to } i_{2}=[u:-v: w], \\
& \langle v+w:-a w-u: u-a v\rangle,\langle v+b w:-w-u: b u-v\rangle, \\
& \langle c v=w:-w-c u: u-v\rangle \text { to } i_{3}=[u: v:-w] .
\end{aligned}
$$

The InDual points are meets of Inlines $I$ and Lines $L$, and are dual to InDual lines.

The Contact points are meets of corresponding InDual lines and Lines $L$; there are a total of 12 . They may also be described as the bases of the altitudes from the Incenters to the Lines. The Contact points associated to the Incenters are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {[0: u-a v: u-a w],[v-b u: 0: v-b w],} \\
& \quad[w-c u: w-c v: 0] \text { to } i_{0}=[u: v: w], \\
& {[0: u+a v: u+a w],[v+b u: 0: v-b w],} \\
& \quad[w+c u: w-c v: 0] \text { to } i_{1}=[-u: v: w], \\
& {[0: u+a v: u-a w],[v+b u: 0: v+b w],} \\
& \quad[w-c u: w+c v: 0] \text { to } i_{2}=[u:-v: w], \\
& {[0: u-a v: u+a w],[v-b u: 0: v+b w],} \\
& \quad[w+c u: w+c v: 0] \text { to } i_{3}=[u: v:-w] .
\end{aligned}
$$

The Contact lines are joins of corresponding InDual points and Dual points $l$. Figure 20 shows the InDual lines and Contact points. The latter are intimately connected with important conics associated to the Triangle-the Incircles.


Figure 20: InDual lines, Contact points and Incircles
A circle is the locus of a point $p$ satisfying $q(p, c)=K$ for some fixed point $c$ and some fixed number $K$ : this is a conic. Circles centered at the Incenters and passing through the associated Contact points are tangent to the Lines at these points, and are called Incircles; there are in this case four, and these are also shown in Figure 20.
Note that in this particular case the two Incircles whose centers are outside the null circle have a quite different character from the two with interior centers. The former are often called 'curves of constant width' in the classical literature, and are tangent to the null circle at the points where the dual of the center (in this case an Inline) meets it. Circles can take on different forms, appearing in our affine view also as hyperbolas outside the null circle, tangent to it at these same points. See [18] for some pictures; also the video UnivHypGeom25: Geometer's Sketchpad and Visualizing circles in Universal Hyperbolic Geometry in the YouTube playlist [20].

### 4.3 Sight lines, Gergonne and Nagel points

A Sight line is the join of a Contact point with the Point $a$ opposite to the Line that it lies on. A Sight point is the dual of a Sight line. There are 12 Sight lines; three associated to each Incenter, and four incident with each Point. The Sight lines associated to the Incenters are:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\langle 0: u-a w:-u+a v\rangle,\langle v-b w: 0:-v+b u\rangle, \\
\quad\langle w-c v:-w+c u, 0\rangle \text { to } i_{0}=[u: v: w], \\
\langle 0:-u-a w: u+a v\rangle,\langle v-b w: 0:-v-b u\rangle, \\
\quad\langle w-c v:-w-c u, 0\rangle \text { to } i_{1}=[-u: v: w], \\
\langle 0: u-a w:-u-a v\rangle,\langle-v-b w: 0: v+b u\rangle, \\
\quad\langle w+c v:-w+c u, 0\rangle \text { to } i_{2}=[u:-v: w], \\
\langle 0: u+a w:-u+a v\rangle,\langle v+b w: 0:-v+b u\rangle, \\
\quad\langle-w-c v: w+c u, 0\rangle \text { to } i_{3}=[u: v:-w] .
\end{gathered}
$$

Theorem 27 (Gergonne points) The three Sight lines associated to an Incenter meet at a Gergonne point $g$. These are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{0}= & {[-a b c u+a c v+a b w-1: b c u-a b c v+a b w-1:} \\
& b c u+a c v-a b c w-1], \\
g_{1}= & {[a b c u+a c v+a b w+1:-b c u-a b c v+a b w+1:} \\
& -b c u+a c v-a b c w+1], \\
g_{2}= & {[-a b c u-a c v+a b w+1: b c u+a b c v+a b w+1:} \\
& b c u-a c v-a b c w+1], \\
g_{3}= & {[-a b c u+a c v-a b w+1: b c u-a b c v-a b w+1:} \\
& b c u+a c v+a b c w+1] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. We check that $g_{0}$ as defined is incident with the Sight line $\langle 0: u-a w:-u+a v\rangle$ by computing

$$
\begin{array}{r}
{[-a b c u+a c v+a b w-1: b c u-a b c v+a b w-1:} \\
\quad b c u+a c v-a b c w-1]\langle 0: u-a w:-u+a v\rangle \\
=\left[-a\left(v-w+a b w^{2}-a c v^{2}-b u w+c u v\right)\right]=0
\end{array}
$$

using the quadratic relations and (22). The computations for the other Sight lines and $g_{1}, g_{2}, g_{3}$ are similar.
An InGergonne line is the meet of a corresponding Incenter $i$ and Gergonne point $g$. An InGergonne point is the join of a corresponding Inline and Gergonne line $G$. The four InGergonne lines are

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{0} i_{0}= & \langle v-w-b c u v+b c u w: w-u+a c u v-a c v w: \\
& u-v-a b u w+a b v w\rangle \\
g_{1} i_{1}= & \langle w-v+b c u v-b c u w:-w-u-a c u v-a c v w: \\
& u+v+a b u w+a b v w\rangle, \\
g_{2} i_{2}= & \langle v+w+b c u v+b c u w: u-w-a c u v+a c v w: \\
& -v-u-a b u w-a b v w\rangle, \\
g_{3} i_{3}= & \langle v+w+b c u v+b c u w:-u-w-a c u v-a c v w: \\
& u-v-a b u w+a b v w\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure 21: Sight lines, Gergonne points $g$, InGergonne lines and the z point

Theorem 28 (InGergonne center) The four InGergonne lines are concurrent, and meet at the $z$ point.

Proof. We check that $g_{0} i_{0}$ passes through $z=$ $[a+1: b+1: c+1]$ by computing

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {[a+1: b+1: c+1] .} \\
& \langle v-w-b c u v+b c u w: w-u+a c u v-a c v w: u-v-a b u w+a b v w\rangle \\
& =[a v-b u-a w+c u+b w-c v-a b u w+a c u v+a b v w \\
& \quad-b c u v-a c v w+b c u w] \\
& =(a-b)(c u v-w)+(c-a)(b u w-v)+(b-c)(a v w-u)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used the relations (22). Similarly $g_{1} i_{1}, g_{2} i_{2}$, $g_{3} i_{3}$ also pass through the $z$ point.

Theorem 29 (Nagel points) The following triples of Sight lines are concurrent. Each triple involves one Sight line associated to each of the Incenters, and so is associated to the Incenter with which it does not share a Sight line:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\langle 0:-u-a w: u+a v\rangle, \quad\langle-v-b w: 0: v+b u\rangle, \\
\langle-w-c v: w+c u: 0\rangle \text { to } i_{0}=[u: v: w], \\
\langle 0: u-a w:-u+a v\rangle, \quad\langle v+b w: 0:-v+b u\rangle, \\
\langle w+c v:-w+c u: 0\rangle \text { to } i_{1}=[-u: v: w], \\
\langle 0: u+a w:-u+a v\rangle, \quad\langle v-b w: 0:-v+b u\rangle, \\
\langle w-c v:-w-c u: 0\rangle \text { to } i_{2}=[u:-v: w], \\
\langle 0: u-a w:-u-a v\rangle, \quad\langle v-b w: 0:-v-b u\rangle, \\
\langle w-c v:-w+c u: 0\rangle \quad \text { to } i_{3}=[u: v:-w] .
\end{gathered}
$$

The points where these triples meet are the Nagel points

$$
\begin{aligned}
n_{0}= & {[a b c u+a c v+a b w+1: b c u+a b c v+a b w+1:} \\
& b c u+a c v+a b c w+1], \\
n_{1}= & {[a b c u-a c v-a b w+1: b c u-a b c v-a b w+1:} \\
& b c u-a c v-a b c w+1], \\
n_{2}= & {[-a b c u+a c v-a b w+1:-b c u+a b c v-a b w+1:} \\
& -b c u+a c v-a b c w+1], \\
n_{3}= & {[-a b c u-a c v+a b w+1:-b c u-a b c v+a b w+1:} \\
& -b c u-a c v+a b c w+1] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. We check that $n_{0}$ as defined is incident with $\langle 0:-u-a w: u+a v\rangle$ by computing
$[a b c u+a c v+a b w+1: b c u+a b c v+a b w+1:$
$b c u+a c v+a b c w+1]\langle 0:-u-a w: u+a v\rangle$
$=\left[a\left(v-w-a b w^{2}+a c v^{2}-b u w+c u v\right)\right]=0$
using the quadratic relations and (22). The computations for the other Sight lines and $n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}$ are similar.

The joins of Incenters $i$ and corresponding Nagel points $n$ are the InNagel lines. They are

$$
\begin{aligned}
n_{0} i_{0}= & \langle w-v-b c u v+b c u w: u-w+a c u v-a c v w: \\
& v-u-a b u w+a b v w\rangle, \\
n_{1} i_{1}= & \langle v-w+b c u v-b c u w: w+u-a c u v-a c v w: \\
& -u-v+a b u w+a b v w\rangle, \\
n_{2} i_{2}= & \langle-v-w+b c u v+b c u w:-u+w-a c u v+a c v w: \\
& v+u-a b u w-a b v w\rangle, \\
n_{3} i_{3}= & \langle-v-w+b c u v+b c u w: u+w-a c u v-a c v w: \\
& -u+v-a b u w+a b v w\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure 22: Nagel points n, InNagel lines and the $x$ point
Theorem 30 (InNagel center) The four InNagel lines are concurrent, and meet at the $x$ point.

Proof. We check that $n_{0} i_{0}$ passes through $x=[a-1: b-1$ : $c-1]$ by computing

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {[a-1: b-1: c-1]\langle w-v-b c u v+b c u w:} \\
& \quad u-w+a c u v-a c v w: v-u-a b u w+a b v w\rangle \\
& =[b u-a v+a w-c u-b w+c v+a b u w-a c u v-a b v w+ \\
& \quad+b c u v+a c v w-b c u w]=0
\end{aligned}
$$

as in the proof of the InGergonne center theorem. The other incidences are similar.

The joins of corresponding Gergonne points and Nagel points are the Gergonne-Nagel lines. They are

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{0} n_{0}= & \langle a(b c-1)(b w-c v): b(a c-1)(c u-a w): \\
& c(a b-1)(a v-b u)\rangle, \\
g_{1} n_{1}= & \langle-a(b c-1)(b w-c v): b(a c-1)(c u+a w): \\
& -c(a b-1)(a v+b u)\rangle, \\
g_{2} n_{2}= & \langle-a(b c-1)(b w+c v):-b(a c-1)(c u-a w): \\
& c(a b-1)(a v+b u)\rangle, \\
g_{3} n_{3}= & \langle a(b c-1)(b w+c v):-b(a c-1)(c u+a w): \\
& -c(a b-1)(a v-b u)\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 31 (Gergonne-Nagel center) The
four Gergonne-Nagel lines are concurrent, and meet at the Gergonne-Nagel center, or u point, which is
$u=[(a c-1)(a b-1):(b c-1)(a b-1):(b c-1)(a c-1)]$.

Proof. We may check directly that the $u$ point defined as above does indeed lie on each Gergonne-Nagel line: this does not require use of the quadratic or cubic relations.


Figure 23: Gergonne-Nagel lines and the
Gergonne-Nagel center: the u-point
We leave it as an exercise for the reader to establish that the $u$ point lies on the Orthoaxis precisely when the numbers $a, b, c$ are not distinct.

## 5 Circumcenter Hierarchy

There is a fundamental duality between the Incenter and Circumcenter hierarchies, since from (6) a point $m$ is a midpoint of a side $\overline{a b}$ precisely when its dual line $M=m^{\perp}$ is a biline of the dual vertex $\overline{a^{\perp} b^{\perp}}$. So by dualizing we can transform all known facts about the Incenter hierarchy of a triangle to the Circumcenter hierarchy of the dual triangle, and vice versa. This is a striking difference between projective Triangle geometry and the more familiar Euclidean version, and sheds also some light on the latter.

All the results of this section are consequences of the dual results established in the previous section, after some book-keeping between the two hierarchies.


Figure 24: Midpoints m, Midlines M, Circumcenters $c$, Circumlines C
We will now assume that the triangle $\overline{a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}}$ has Midpoints $m$, and so also Midlines $M$. This occurs precisely when $1-q_{1}, 1-q_{2}, 1-q_{3}$ are all squares, and in this case there are six Midpoints, two on each side. This is equivalent to the Dual triangle $\overline{l_{1} l_{2} l_{3}}$ having bilines. Each Midline $M$ passes through a Midpoint $m$, since any two Midpoints of a side are perpendicular.

Theorem 32 (Circumlines) Midpoints $m$ are collinear in threes, joining on four Circumlines C. Midlines $M$ are concurrent in threes, meeting at four Circumcenters $c$.

Median lines (or just medians) $D$ are joins of corresponding Midpoints $m$ and Points $a$. There are six Medians, two passing through each Point. The duals are the Median points $d$, the meets of corresponding Midlines $M$ and Dual lines $A$.


Figure 25: Medians $D$, Median points $d$, Centroids $g$, Centroid lines $G$

Theorem 33 (Median harmonic conjugates) The two Median lines through a vertex of the Triangle are harmonic conjugates with respect to the two Lines of that vertex.

Theorem 34 (Centroids) The Median lines D are concurrent in threes, meeting at four Centroid points $g$. The Median points $d$ are collinear in threes, joining on four Centroid lines $G$.

A Median Thaloid is a Thaloid of a side consisting of two Median points, both on a Dual line of the Triangle. There are three Median Thaloids.

Theorem 35 (Isostatic points) If two Median Thaloids meet at a point $r$, then the third does too.

Such a common point is an Isostatic point; Figure 26 shows the three Median Thaloids as well as two Isostatic points: $r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$, and their join.


Figure 26: Median Thaloids and Isostatic points $r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$
The four Circumlines and the four Centroid lines are corresponding, since the three Midpoints that join in a Circumline also give rise to the three Median lines passing through a particular Centroid line, which is dual to a particular Centroid line. CircumCentroid points are meets of corresponding Circumlines and Centroid lines. CircumCentroid lines are partially analogous to Euler lines, being joins of Circumcenters and Centroids. The next result shows that the $z$ point might also be called the Euler center!

Theorem 36 (CircumCentroid axis) The four CircumCentroid points are collinear, and join on the $Z$ line. The four CircumCentroid lines are concurrent, and meet at the z point.


Figure 27: CircumCentroids, the $Z$ line and the z point
CircumDual points are meets of Circumlines and Dual lines. There are twelve CircumDual points, four on each Dual line, three on each Circumline. CircumDual lines are duals of CircumDual points. Tangent lines are joins of corresponding CircumDual points and Points; there are twelve. Tangent points are duals of Tangent lines.
A Circumcircle is a circle centered at a Circumcenter passing through one, hence all of the Points. These are shown in Figure 28, with CircumDual points and Tangent lines, which really are tangent to the Circumcircles.


Figure 28: CircumDuals points, Tangent lines, Circumcircles and Sound points
Sound points are meets of Tangent lines and Lines; there are twelve, three associated to each Circumline. They are also shown in Figure 28. Sound lines are the duals of Sound points.

Theorem 37 (Jay lines) The three Sound points associated to a particular Circumline C join on a Jay line J.

There are four Jay lines, and Jay points $j$ are their duals. CircumJay points are meets of Circumlines and associated Jay lines; there are four, and CircumJay lines are their duals; joins of Circumcenters and Jay points.


Figure 29: Jay points and lines, CircumJay points and lines, and the Base center b

Theorem 38 (CircumJay center) The four CircumJay points join on the Base axis B. The four CircumJay lines meet at the Base center $b$.

Theorem 39 (Wren lines) Sound points associated to different Circumlines are collinear in threes, and join on four Wren lines $W$.

The duals of the Wren lines are Wren points $w$. To each Wren line we associate the Circumline not associated to the Sound points on it. CircumWren points are the meets of Circumlines and associated Wren lines, and CircumWren lines are their duals.

Theorem 40 (CircumWren center) The four CircumWren points join on the Orthic axis S. The four CircumWren lines meet at the Orthostar s.


Figure 30: Wren points and lines, CircumWren points and lines, and the Orthostar s

A JayWren point is the meet of associated Jay lines and Wren lines; there are four. The duals are the JayWren lines.

Theorem 41 (JayWren center) The four JayWren points join on the JayWren axis, or the V line. The four JayWren lines meet at the JayWren center, or v point.

This is a good point to remark that in the projective situation there are remarkable additional constructions, that are available at times when midpoints and bilines may not exist, which allow a wide extension of many of the theorems in this paper. This topic will be developed elsewhere.


Figure 31: JayWren points and lines, JayWren center v and axis $V$

## 6 Bridging between the Incenter and Circumcenter hierarchies

Although we have so far emphasized the complete duality between the Incenter and Circumcenter hierarchies, it is also the case that there are numerous remarkable connections between the two. We give a brief indication of this with three examples, leaving proofs to another occasion.
We assume we have a (generic) triangle $\overline{a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}}$ with both Bilines and Midpoints (so both hierarchies exist). This is, at least approximately, the situation with our example Triangle.

Theorem 42 The JayWren center v, the Gergonne-Nagel center $u$ and the Orthocenter $h$ are collinear.


Figure 32: Jay-Wren-Gergonne-Nagel axis
Theorem 43 (InCirc joins/meets) The 16 InCirc joins joining the four Incenters $i$ and the four Circumcenters $c$ meet also four at a time at four InCirc centers $r$.


Figure 33: InCirc joins and InCirc centers
The four Incenters $i$, four Circumcenters $c$ and four InCirc centers $r$ form a pleasant symmetrical configuration of 12 points.

Theorem 44 (InCentroid joins/meets) The 16 InCentroid joins joining the four Incenters $i$ and the four Centroid points $g$ meet two at a time at 24 InCentroid meets which lie, 8 each, on the Lines.


Figure 34: InCentroid lines and meets
An interesting direction is to ponder the implications of this work for classical Euclidean triangle geometry. There are also many further phenomenon in the projective setting with no obvious affine/Euclidean parallel, which will be studied in future papers. The author will shortly post Triangle Geometry GSP worksheets on his UNSW website. Hopefully these, together with the formulas and pictures in this paper, will empower and encourage the reader to make his/her own discoveries!
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