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Abstract

The Pelješac Bridge is currently the largest bridge under construction in Croatia and in the wider region. The construction began in July 2018 (for the second time), and the bridge should be completed in 36 months. The design work for the bridge started in 2004. Various bridge alternatives were considered during preliminary studies, preliminary design and main design activities. The bridge is located in a high-activity seismic zone with strong winds, and is realised in extremely adverse foundation soil conditions, and in aggressive maritime environment. The multi-span extradosed semi-integral bridge with steel deck was finally chosen for the main design and construction. The history of design, final design and maintenance of the bridge is presented in the paper.
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Most Pelješac — projektiranje i održavanje
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1. Introduction

The southern part of Croatia, including the city of Dubrovnik, is currently separated from the rest of Croatia by a small coastal stretch belonging to Bosnia and Herzegovina (Figure 1). The idea of fixed road link to connect the whole of Croatia, without having to cross state borders twice, has been studied for more than fifteen years, but has gained a new momentum after Croatia has become an EU member state. The new bridge crossing the sea strait between Croatian mainland and the Pelješac Peninsula shall fulfil this purpose, while also furthering development of the Pelješac Peninsula and nearby islands.

Figure 1. Location of Pelješac Bridge

2. The history of design and construction

2.1. Bridge specifics

The seabed at the bridge alignment is almost level at -27 m in elevation, with the stratigraphic pattern of a series of sub-horizontal layers and an irregular top of the rock along the bridge (Figure 2) [1]. The foundation soil alongside the planned location is of extremely poor quality, as has been confirmed by detailed geophysical surveys and extensive off-shore investigations, including continuous shear wave survey and geotechnical explorations from a specially equipped drill ship, which included drilling boreholes and sampling in 2004 and 2009 [1]. The depth to bedrock is variable along the bridge alignment, ranging from 75 m to 102 m in depth in the central portion of the crossing, and amounting to about 39 m close to the mainland coast.
The crossing is approximately 2140 m wide at the sea level and 2380 m at the gradient line level. The navigation clearance was originally specified as 150x30 m, but had to be changed to a navigation channel at least 200 m wide with minimum vertical clearance of 55 m at the specific request of the neighbouring country of Bosnia and Herzegovina, although there is no navigation channel currently chartered in this area and although the Mali Ston Bay is ecologically an extremely sensitive area, where any larger ship traffic might disturb delicate environmental balance of one of the last European natural habitats of oyster mussel and clam farms.

The bridge site lies in a zone of high seismic activity in the vicinity of active seismic faults, where seven significant earthquakes of magnitude M>6 occurred in the passed century within 100 km of the site.

The bridge site is also exposed to high winds with maximum average 10-minute wind speeds of 33.4 m/s and wind gust speeds of 47.1 m/s.

Hence, the key challenges for the bridge design were high bridge alignment lying at approximately +90.0 m a.s.l., adverse soil conditions, high seismicity of the site, and stringent environmental requirements.


Because of the above mentioned constraints, it was evident that the total bridge dead weight, and especially the dead weight of the superstructure had to be reduced as much as possible, which was done by adopting a steel superstructure. Also, relatively long spans were utilized to limit the number of expensive foundations. At this stage, the navigation clearance was specified as 150x30 m.

Over 10 bridge types such as girder bridge, truss bridge, arch bridge and cable-stayed bridge (Figure 3), were studied at the preliminary stage and, after a detailed evaluation, two alternative solutions were short-listed for the next design phase [2].
Figure 3. Alternative solutions (2005)
The choice was based on aesthetical considerations and economic criteria comprising material quantity and complexity of erection technology, but also on predicted future maintenance costs of this large project. The Committee, appointed by the state of Croatia, finally selected the continuous steel box type superstructure as the most appropriate bridge for the Mainland-Pelješac Peninsula Crossing, finding this alternative the least imposing on the environment. Therefore, the next stage was the preliminary design for the continuous beam alternative solution.

2.3. Preliminary design (2005)

The superstructure was a continuous trapezoidal steel box over 15 spans, with the overall length of \( L = 94.0 + 122.0 + 142.0 + 3 \times 170.0 + 178.0 + 7 \times 170.0 + 138.0 = 2,374.0 \text{ m} \) and constant depth of 6.5 m (Figures 4 and 5) [3].

![Figure 4. Longitudinal layout of the bridge](image1)

![Figure 5. Typical cross sections at midspan and at supports](image2)

The total calculated weight of the steel superstructure was 20,000.0 tons or 556 kg/m² of the bridge deck area (using steel grade S355).

All piers in the sea strait were founded on deep foundations, consisting of tubular steel driven piles with the pile cap at the sea level. This turned out to be the most cost-effective solution from the point of view of ease of construction and quantity.
of material used. The pile steel grade was S 355 with the tube thickness varying up
to maximum 60.0 mm. The cathodic protection of piles against steel corrosion in an
aggressive environment was to be applied.
Reinforced concrete piles were 5.0 m deep and 20.0x20.0 m in ground plan.
After the preliminary design was completed and the location permit obtained, new
demands on the navigation clearance were defined. The navigation channel was to be
at least 200 m wide with the vertical clearance of 55.0 m. New alternative solutions
for the bridge were therefore analysed. All of them were cable stayed bridges and,
finally, the cable stayed bridge with the main span of 568 m and the steel box type
superstructure was chosen for the main design.

2.4. Main design (2009)

The original main design of the bridge comprising a cable-stayed bridge with the main
span of 568 m and a continuous aerodynamically shaped steel box deck structure
(Figure 7), with an overall length of 2404,0 m (Figure 6) was completed in 2008 [4],
[5].
The width of the four-lane roadway was 2x8,0=16,0 m, with two lanes in each
direction, separated by a 3,0 m wide median strip, so that the total width between
safety barriers amounted to 20,0 m.

Figure 6. Original bridge design – longitudinal layout

Figure 7. Original bridge design – deck cross-section of main cable-stayed bridge
The depth of the deck was 3.0 m for the main cable stayed bridge and 5.0 m for the approach spans, with a smooth transition between these depths at cable stayed bridge ends. The longitudinal layout of the stay cables was of modified fan type with partial suspension, with cable stays in two inclined planes spaced at 20.0 m in the longitudinal direction. The streamlined deck cross section was designed to reduce wind action on the bridge and to provide for a high torsional rigidity. All vertical actions from the deck were to be assumed by structural bearings. Dampers were to be installed on all supports with stiff foundations to mitigate the seismic energy input and minimize structural damage. Pylons of the cable stayed bridge were designed as diamond shaped pylons with the total height of 176.0 m above the sea, with the lower parts in concrete, and with only the top 52.0 m parts, where cable stays are anchored, of composite type. All piers were designed with the box type cross section, tapered at both longitudinal and transverse directions. All piers and pylons in the sea strait were founded on mostly battered driven steel tubular piles. Such foundations turned out to be the most cost-effective solution from the point of view of constructability and amount of materials used. Design loads adopted for the project are reported in [6]. The nonlinear modal time history analysis, based on superposition of Ritz vectors, but with coupled modal equations, was performed for seismic design. Real earthquake accelerograms for nearby earthquakes (Bar, Ulcinj, Ston) and for the El Centro earthquake, as well as 72 artificial accelerograms, determined by seismic study for the specific bridge location, were analysed. The analysis was carried out taking into account the proposed bridge construction sequence [7]. The total calculated weight of steel for superstructure and piles amounted to 32.000,0 and 35.000,00 tons, respectively (steel grade S355). The original main design of the bridge was thoroughly checked by independent certified Croatian and German proof engineers, which was the necessary requirement to obtain the building permit. The bidding process for bridge construction was carried out and the work was awarded to a consortium of Croatian construction companies. The construction of the bridge commenced and abutments and coastal piers on the mainland and the Pelješac Peninsula sides were partially or fully completed. But the investor first slowed down and then finally stopped the bridge construction in 2012 due to the lack of funds.

2.5. New preliminary designs (2013)

2.5.1. General

Searching for a more economical bridge solution the investor, Croatian Roads, signed the contract for a new preliminary design with the joint venture composed on the Faculty of Civil Engineering – University of Zagreb & Ponting/Pipenbaher Consulting Engineers, based on the new terms of reference, this time for a two lane bridge with
median strip, and with 3.0 m high wind screens, to be installed on the bridge to ensure that road traffic can run across even in high wind conditions. Two alternative solutions were proposed, a continuous steel box girder bridge and a multi-span semi integral extradosed bridge with hybrid (predominantly steel) deck. The first alternative was designed by a team from the Structural Department of the Faculty of Civil Engineering – University of Zagreb, and the second alternative was developed by the Ponting/Pipenbaher Consulting Engineers [8]. In both preliminary designs the road alignment adopted in the original design was fully respected. End spans on the mainland side and on the Pelješac Peninsula side L=96,0+72,0 m, as well as the total bridge length of 2404,0 m, adopted in the original main design, were retained to make use of the already constructed parts of the bridge substructure.

2.5.2. Continuous steel box girder bridge

The primary requirement was to design an economical bridge, which also had to be very light so as to take into account high seismic risk in the area. Thus, the bridge deck was designed completely in steel and most of the driven piles, used for bridge foundations in the sea, were designed as slightly battered to minimise horizontal pile-cap dimensions and to increase stiffness of foundation work. The bridge deck was supported on the substructure by bearings (and dampers where necessary) to reduce as much as possible base shear due to seismic action, and not to introduce any bending moments into the bridge deck.

The deck structure was a continuous trapezoidal steel box running over 16 spans, with the overall length of L=72,0+96,0+132,0+3x164,0+200,0+256,0+200,0+4x164,0+132,0+96,0+72,0=2404,0 m (Figure 8).

![Continuous steel box girder bridge - longitudinal layout and ground plan](image)

The deck structure was a single box type girder of constant depth d=6,4 m (Figure 9), except in the main navigation span and the two neighbouring spans where the box girder depth increased parabolically to the maximum of 10.6 m above main span piers.
The deck plate was cantilevered out symmetrically from both webs and webs were inclined at 78.7° to the horizontal. Vertical actions of the steel bridge deck were carried over to the bridge substructure by steel spherical structural bearings, while horizontal actions were transferred by a combination of viscous shock-transmitters and fixed bearings. All piers were architecturally shaped as box type cross sections with variable outer dimensions, and with heights varying between 19.1 m and 49.4 m. Driven steel piles 2000 mm in diameter were utilized for pier foundations. A combination of vertical piles and piles battered at 5% was designed. Battered piled significantly reduce horizontal displacement of pile caps and the whole bridge structure, and assume, by their axial resistance, an important part of horizontal forces due to wind and earthquake action. Piers S4 & S12-S15 were founded on 8 piles connected by a pile cap measuring 11.0x18.0 m in plan, piers S5-S7 and S10-S11 on 10 piles with a pile cap measuring 12.0x18.0 m in plan, and piers S8-S9, which support the main navigation span, were founded on 16 piles connected to a pile cap measuring 18.0x18.0 m in plan. Three-fold corrosion protection of steel piles was planned: additional wall thickness, passive cathodic protection with welded sacrificial anodes, and special paint coatings. The total steel weight of the superstructure and piles was 25,500.0 and 24,000.00 tons, respectively (steel grade S355).

The rationale for the continuous steel box girder design (Figure 10) was its economy and very small weight, which is very important considering the very high seismicity and adverse geotechnical conditions on the bridge site. Only piers extruding from the sea were architecturally shaped. The idea behind this proven and solid design was that the bridge should blend harmoniously with the environment and not to impose on it.
2.5.3. Multi-span semi-integral extradosed bridge with hybrid deck

The multi-span semi-integral extradosed bridge is structurally up-to date, unique in appearance, and resourcefully economical, and thus constitutes a very clear reflection of the present times (Figure 11). Such a large and impressive bridge should not be just a high-tech and excellently designed engineering structure without soul and character. Thanks to an inventive approach to the design of this extreme bridge with an integral structure, featuring a hybrid deck suspended on six pylons, the bridge meets crucial design criteria of appearance, stability, durability, usability and economy in construction, which should be favourably reflected in subsequent maintenance costs.

In their preliminary study, the French consultant SAFEGE preferred the multi-span semi-integral extradosed bridge alternative, stating that it has the following advantages compared to the continuous steel box girder:

• reduced adverse influence on the protected environment of the Mali Ston Bay due to three less piers in the sea,
• reduced construction risks due to three less piers, because foundation construction is technically the most complex and financially the most demanding part of bridge construction,
• reduced number of structural bearings,
• higher level deck intrados in the main navigation span.

Based on these recommendations, the investor selected the multi-span extradosed bridge for further design [9].

After completion of preliminary design, a new environmental study was carried out and the location permit was amended.

The main design of the extradosed bridge [10] is presented in the next section.
3. Multi-span semi-integral extradosed bridge with hybrid deck — main design

A comprehensive optimisation method was used in the development of structural and architectural concept for this bridge. The central system is a multi-span semi-integral extradosed bridge with six pylons and five 285.0 m openings, so that a full symmetry in space has been achieved. In the surrounding landscape, the bridge appears as a very light and peaceful composition. An attempt was made to create a bridge that is structurally, technically and technologically advanced, while also being financially optimal and cost effective.

The extradosed cable-stayed deck and centrally placed reinforced concrete pylons, are elastically linked to piers so that in its central part measuring 1832.0 m (76% of the total bridge length) the bridge is a frame structure without bearings, which provides for additional stability of the bridge in case of seismic and wind action. Bearings and guiders installed on shear keys are designed only at end parts of the bridge — at abutments and piers 2-4 and 11-13.

The bridge deck is a continuous hybrid box structure that is suspended in its central part by stays on to six centrally placed reinforced concrete pylons. The steel box deck extends over 12 spans L=84.0+108.0+108.0+189.5+5x285.0+189.5+108.0+108.0+84.0=2,404.0 m (Figure 12).

Pylons are 40.0 m in height so that the pylon height to span ratio is 40.0/285.0=0.14.

Figure 12. Multi-span semi-integral extradosed bridge - longitudinal layout and ground plan
Typical superstructure cross-sections at cable stay anchoring of the main cable stayed bridge and at approach spans are shown in Figure 13. The streamlined three cell box deck cross section was designed to reduce wind action on the bridge and to provide for high torsional rigidity. The deck depth is 4.5 m and the orthotropic deck plate is 22.5 m in width. All plates, i.e. the deck plate, the bottom plate, inner webs, and inclined bottom plates, are stiffened by longitudinal trough type closed trapezoidal stiffeners.

Transverse frames are spaced at 4.0 m intervals.

Cable stays are composed of parallel galvanized waxed strands, 0.62” in diameter in HPDE ducts with outer protrusions to limit potential vibrations due to wind and rain. The number of strands varies from minimum 55 to maximum 109.

Centrally positioned vertical reinforced concrete pylons are elastically linked with the concrete part of the superstructure and are directly connected to piers (Figure 14). They are made of solid concrete grade C70/85 and taper in transverse direction from 5.0 m at the top to 7 m at the deck level, while their width is constant in longitudinal direction and amounts to 2.2 m. Stay-cables are anchored in pylons via ten deviators.

Piers of supports S5 - S10 represent the bottom part of the pylons (Figure 14). They are elastically fixed to the massive pile caps at the bottom, and to the superstructure and pylons at the top.

The height of piers varies from 37.927 to 53.35 m.

The piers feature a hollow box cross section with rounded corners. The outer dimensions at the bottom and top of tallest piers are 7.0 m × 11.0 m and 7.0 × 8.1 m, respectively. The wall thickness of piers is 0.70 m for walls perpendicular to bridge axis, and 0.80 m for walls in the direction of bridge axis.

At piers S7 and S8 located in the navigation channel, the wall thickness at bottom part of the piers, in the length of 11.35 m, was increased to 1.20 m in both directions. Therefore, the piers can withstand local ship collision forces.

Relatively short piers S2-S4 and S11-S13 (19.2 – 31.78 m in height) also feature a hollow box cross section with rounded corners (Figure 15). The outer dimensions at the bottom and top are 4.25 m × 8.0 m (tallest pier) and 4.25 × 10.0 m, respectively. The wall thickness of all piers is 0.60 m in both directions and is invariable.
Figure 13. Multi-span semi-integral extradosed bridge - deck cross-sections

At the top of the piers, enough space is provided for installation of large bearing pads and side guiders / bearings. Also, an appropriate space is provided for positioning the bearing replacement jacks, and for the opening enabling access to piers (inspection and maintenance of bearings).

According to the design, all piers S2 – S13 will be fabricated using concrete grade C50/60.

Abutments U1, U14 and piers S2, S13 are founded into the compact rock base. Piers S3 – S12, situated in the sea strait, are founded onto driven steel piles measuring
1800 and 2000 mm in diameter. The length of piles varies from 36 to 128.6 m. At the sea level, the piles are fixed to the massive concrete pile cap.

Piles at supports S3, S4, S10 - S12 are filled with concrete in full length and feature an additional concrete socket to enable load transfer into the solid rock base. The foundation work for pylons S5 – S10 is realized with a group of 18 / 20 piles, 2000 mm in diameter, fixed to the RC pile cap at the sea level. Rectangular pile cap dimensions are 29 m (transverse to the bridge axis) and 23 m (along the bridge axis). The thickness of the cap varies from 4.0 (at the edge) to 5.0 m (in the pier area). The length of piles is up to 128.6 m.

Figure 14. Pylon S6
Steel piles measure 2000 mm in outer diameter and 40 mm in wall thickness. The pile driving shoe measuring 2000 mm in length and 60 mm in thickness is foreseen at the bottom of the pile (higher steel quality of S460 was adopted). At piers S5 – S9, top pile parts in the length of 40 m are filled with reinforced concrete to increase their stiffness.

As mentioned earlier, the piers S5 to S10 are fixed to the concrete part of the superstructure, so that the total horizontal force in longitudinal direction will be assumed by these six piers. Longitudinal movable bearings that assume vertical force only are placed at all other piers and at both abutments. At these piers, horizontal force in transverse direction is assumed by side bearings (guiders).

Static and dynamic bridge analysis was performed using several software packages RM-BRIDGE Enterprise - Bentley, SOFISTIK, Midas Civil, ANSYS, Plaxis 3D-VIP, OpenFoam, SolidWorks. To enable the PCE IQC - Internal Quality Control, two totally independent static and dynamic analyses were performed using the software RM-BRIDGE / Bentley and SOFISTIK AG, for construction and service life of the structure.

Significant non-linear behaviour in soil, and inelastic deformation in foundation elements, are expected as the structure is founded on very long and slender piles passing through layers of soft soil.

For accurate static and dynamic analyses, it was important to reliably derive non-linear inelastic soil springs and to validate the model with linear soil springs used in the bridge response spectrum analyses. For this purpose, a 3D continuum model of the soil foundation system was created for a typical support (Support 9).
A site-specific engineering seismological study, based on the seismic source zone characterization and attenuation relationships for the south of Croatia, was undertaken by the Croatian Seismological Service. The bridge site lies in a zone of large seismicity in the vicinity of active seismic faults, where seven significant earthquakes of magnitude \( M > 6 \) occurred in the past century within 100km of the site. The maximum magnitude was estimated at \( M_{\text{max}} = 6.5 \) and the maximum seismic intensity at \( I_{\text{max}} = 9.2^\circ \) MCS. Seventy-two artificial simulated accelerograms for earthquake magnitudes of \( M = 6.0, 6.5, 7.0, \) and 7.5 were defined in this report for epicentral distances of 5, 10, 25, 50, 100, and 150km. The epicentres were always assumed to be at the depth of 10km from the surface, and the records were produced for the level of basic sound rock. Nonlinear time history analyses were performed using a computer model with nonlinear springs. Nonlinear (p-y) springs were defined for horizontal direction, and nonlinear springs for skin friction were defined for vertical direction. The detailed analysis of superstructure and pylons was performed with shell, solid, and 3D finite elements. In addition, the wind barrier efficiency analysis also included the CFD (Computational Fluid Dynamic) wind analysis. The total weight of steel was 34,727.0 and 31,000.00 tons for superstructure and piles, respectively. The wind tunnel testing was performed, both on the section model and full bridge model. The main design of the bridge was thoroughly checked by independent certified proof engineers. After completion of the main design, a new amendment to the construction permit was obtained (2017). The bidding process for bridge construction was conducted and, in July 2018, the bridge construction contract was signed between the investor Croatian Roads and the joint venture: China Road and Bridge Corporation, CCCC Highway Consultants Co. Ltd., China, CCCC Second Harbour Engineering Co. Ltd., China, CCC Second Highway Engineering Co. Ltd., China.

4. Bridge construction

The bridge construction started in July 2018. First, tubular steel piles were driven by a hydraulic hammer operated from a large derrick barge, utilizing the latest offshore technology. The excavation of soil from the piles and pile concreting work is currently in progress. Pile caps consist of precast shells and concrete cast in situ. Precast shells shall be cast at a convenient location on the coast, equipped with lift chambers, and floated to the final position above the piles. After centring of the shell on steel piles, openings will be sealed and the pile cap will be completed with in situ concrete. Concrete box type piers and pylons shall be constructed using the climbing formwork.
The steel superstructure of approach spans and two side spans of the cable stayed bridge shall be joined into span-long segments at a convenient location on the coast. These segments will be transported to the site one by one on two derrick barges, lifted into position, and connected to the previously erected base segment on top of the piers. The weight of a typical 104.0 m long segment is approximately 1,600.0 tons. The main cable stayed bridge spans shall be erected using the cantilever method, with typical segments measuring 20m in length. The construction will progress from all six pylons at the same time. All construction phases have to be realised very carefully due to stringent ecological requirements. The bridge will be finished in 36 months.

5. Durability and maintenance

5.1. Overview

Because of immense importance of this bridge, solutions that contribute to greater durability have been adopted in all stages of the design process. Specified materials and products for the bridge are all of highest quality. The design specifications require that the bridge construction must be carried out with utmost care, so that maximum durability can be achieved.

5.2. Measures for increasing durability

The measures that should ensure the maximum design durability, as based on applicable standards, are specified in design criteria formulated in the main design [11]. These measures are:

- The design working life category, according to EN 1990, Section 2.3, is 5. Recognizing that an extended service life can more economically be achieved with proper measures taken during design and construction, than with later rehabilitation, the bridge is designed for a 100-year service life before major maintenance of non-replaceable components is needed. Components that can be replaced without significant disruptions to bridge use are designed for a lower service life.
- Required reliability relating to structural safety and serviceability will be achieved by suitable combination of measures relating to design and measures relating to quality assurance and control, according to EN 1990, Annex B; the reliability set for the Pelješac Bridge is high.
- Consequence class is CC3.
- Reliability class is RC3.
- Design supervision level is prescribed as DSL3.
- Inspection level is prescribed as IL3.
- Execution class is prescribed as CC3.
• Service category for steel parts (according to HRN EN 1090-2, Table B.1) is SC2.
• Execution class for steel parts (according to HRN EN 1090-2, Table B.3) is prescribed as EXC4.

An increased bridge durability is ensured through structural shaping of the details and appropriate additional measures. In this respect, the following measures are applied:
• All concrete elements are shaped in such way that there are no sharp edges. Pile cap and pier edges are rounded with a minimal radius of 50 cm.
• High quality concrete with proper concrete mixture ensuring high resistance to water and chloride penetration is prescribed.
• The thickness of concrete cover on pile caps is increased to 85 mm, and on piers and pylons to 75 mm.
• Concrete cover is additionally reinforced with stainless steel bars. The bar diameters are 14 to 16 mm ensuring cracks lower than 0.2 mm.
• Concrete surfaces will be additionally protected with protection coating. Inhibitors will thus penetrate deep into the concrete mass.
• Special attention is given to casting massive concrete elements (pile caps). A cooling system will be installed to provide for the temperature difference of less than 25 °C.
• High quality and high durability corrosion protection is designed for the steel structure.
• Cathodic protection shall be installed on steel piles and pile caps.
• High-quality EU certificated equipment will be installed on the bridge.
• Preliminary testing of materials and bearing structural elements (stay-cables) are prescribed.
• The sacrificial wall on the shore is to be concreted under the same conditions as the bridge elements, and thereafter the destructive tests can be carried out to monitor the condition of concrete on the most exposed elements of the bridge.

These measures are implemented in the scope of quality control and in subsequent design phases, and also at the bridge construction stage, by adopting and implementing high standards of construction and construction supervision.

5.3. Concept of bridge management, monitoring and maintenance system

5.3.1. Overview

The “Concept of bridge management, monitoring and maintenance” (Concept) [11], which was a part of tender documentation, is the basis for preparing the “User Manual, Monitoring and Maintenance of the Pelješac Bridge”, which shall be completed together with the as built design, and for preparing the detailed bridge-monitoring design to be completed together with the detailed design of the bridge.
The Concept provides guidelines for the monitoring, inspection, testing, data processing, and regular maintenance of the bridge. It also describes and clarifies basic features of the bridge, necessary for planning repairs, as well as basic assumptions for bridge analysis, in order to help in the design of major interventions, if necessary. The assessment of degradation and damage of the bridge elements is of crucial importance for the maintenance of the bridge in use, and it is based on monitoring, inspection, testing and expertise. The most important factor are estimates given on the basis of continuous monitoring and regular inspections, which may result in the conduct of detailed inspections, repairs, and maintenance works. Although damage is not foreseeable, the Concept defines the places where it may occur, or where it can greatly affect the safety of the bridge structure or its users.

5.3.2. Bridge monitoring

Continuous structural monitoring during construction and use of the bridge enables:
• analysis of short and long-term structural behaviour
• early identification of potential damage
• effective planning of maintenance work
• assessment of the remaining serviceability
• increased safety and reliability.

Surveillance will be carried out with the following devices to be installed on the bridge:
• GPS devices
• accelerometers
• measuring devices based on optical fibres
• anemometers
• meteorological station
• seismographs.

The monitoring system provides for the continuous monitoring of structural and non-structural elements of the bridge, and continuous measurement of the following features:
• number and composition of vehicles in traffic
• deformations of main bridge elements
• dynamic behaviour of structure (vibration, acceleration)
• specific deformations and stresses in main bearing structural elements of the bridge
• fatigue of steel structures, prestressed cables, and stays
• air temperature and temperature of structural elements
• humidity
• wind velocity and direction
• seismic activity of the surrounding area
• corrosion and decay of concrete
• corrosion of reinforcement
• condition and forces of stays.

5.3.3. Bridge inspection and maintenance

Regular and exceptional inspections are foreseen during the useful life of the bridge. The following inspection guidelines are provided in the Concept:
• regular daily inspection in the scope of regular road inspections
• seasonal inspections (twice a year)
• annual inspections
• main inspection checks (every 2 / 4 years)
• exceptional inspections (after earthquake, stormy winds, fire, ship impact, other accidents on the bridge, etc.)

It should be noted that main inspections (and exceptional inspections, if needed) also include appropriate bridge testing.

The damage and deficiency estimation will be made based on the results of the above-mentioned inspections and tests.

Bridge maintenance is a set of all measures and procedures to be undertaken during the lifetime of the structure to achieve the desired durability, i.e. during the lifetime of the bridge the required level of safety and usability should always be respected.

Maintenance activities are conducted:
• Continuously (cleaning of bridge surface, drainage, bearings, expansion joints, etc.)
• Periodically (corrosion protection, reconditioning of pavement layers, etc.)
• If necessary (repair of mechanical damage, replacement of obsolete parts, etc.)

Documents relating to each maintenance operation must properly be filed so as to enable analysis of the results and, hence, estimation of the integrity and remaining service life of the bridge.

Maintenance guidelines are given in the Concept.

6. Conclusion

The construction work on the Mainland - Pelješac Peninsula Bridge, the vital fixed road link for connecting all parts of Croatia, is currently in progress. The bridge is scheduled for completion in July 2021.

Numerous solutions have been prepared during the long journey of design development (as is appropriate for such bridge). In the end, the solution of the extradosed bridge was chosen based on feasibility study results.

Solutions contributing to bridge durability were adopted in the design. Guidelines given for the monitoring and maintenance of the bridge will greatly contribute to the successful maintenance of the bridge.
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